Exercise

Prove that, if the Perceptron algorithm is initialised with the null vector, then the coefficient η does not affect learning.

Solution

Given a training set $S = \{(x, t)\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $t \in \{-1, +1\}, \eta \ge 0$ (learning rate)

First, we initialise the value of the weights as a null vector.

Then repeat the following:

(a) Select (randomly) one of the training examples (x,t)

(b) If
$$o = sign(w \cdot x) \neq t$$
, then $w \leftarrow w + \eta(t - o)x$

In the first iteration, we have w as a null vector, so $o_1 = sign(0) = 0 \neq t_0$, then

$$w_i \leftarrow w_a + \eta(t_i - q)x_i = \eta(t_i - 0)x_i = \eta \cdot t_i \cdot x_i$$

In the subsequence iterations, the weights are not updated if $o = sign(w \cdot x) = t$. So, for the second iteration where $o = sign(w \cdot x) \neq t$, we have

$$O_{j} = sgn(\omega_{i} \cdot x_{j}) \neq \ell_{j}$$

$$W_{j} \leftarrow W_{i} + \eta(\ell_{j} - O_{j}) \times j = \eta [\ell_{i} \times \iota + \chi_{j}(\ell_{j} - O_{j})]$$

where j > 1. Similarly, for the next iteration where $o = sign(w \cdot x) \neq t$ again, we have k > j, and

$$D_{k} = Sqn(\omega_{j} \cdot \lambda_{k}) \neq t_{k}$$

$$W_{k} \leftarrow W_{j} + \eta(t_{k} - O_{k}) \cdot \lambda_{k} = \eta[t_{1}\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{j}(t_{j} - O_{j}) + \lambda_{k}(t_{k} - O_{k})]$$

Hence, we can expand this to the general form

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{W}_{n} = \eta \left[\mathcal{L}_{i} \times_{i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \times_{i} \left(\mathcal{L}_{i} - 0_{i} \right) \right] \\ \text{where } O_{i} = \text{sgn} \left(\mathcal{W}_{i-1} \cdot \times_{i} \right) \neq f_{i} \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \end{split}$$

Note that the coefficient η does not exist within the square brackets, so it only scales the learning but not the dire it does not affect learning.