


WORKGROUP EVALUATION



GROUP ACTIVITY



5 GROUPS



FOR EACH GROUP

- **min 3 persons**
- **max 4 persons**
- **1 article each group**

Presentation date

- **all 18.12.2025**
- **max 10-15 slides**
- **10 minutes max for presentation**
- **2 minutes Q&A**
- **5 minutes evaluations time**



ROLES IN THE GROUPS

1. MANAGER of the group:

- distribution of work to each group participant
- control and manage the activities timing

2. LECTURE:

- preparation of the final presentation version
- oral exposition

3. MANAGER EVALUATION:

- collecting the evaluation of proper group on the other groups works
- exposition of the proper group evaluation on the other group performances
- indicate 1 aspect positive e 1 suggestion to improve the other group presentation
- fill the evaluation schedule

4. MANAGER REFERENCES:

- collaborate with the lecturer to improve the presentation quality
- prepare the references report to include in the presentation
- in case the group is of 3 members this work is assigned to the group manager

Number of the evaluated Group:

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

PRESENTATION EVALUATION SCHEDULE

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY OF THE WORK:

LEVEL

Not sufficient 0	Sufficient 1	Quite good 2	Good 3	Very good 4	Exellent 5
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------

1. Consistency between presentation and content
2. Clarity of the scientific topics covered
3. Validity of the scientific topics covered (with links to sources)

CREATIVITY AND FORMAL DISPLAY OF CONTENT

LEVEL

Not sufficient 0	Sufficient 1	Quite good 2	Good 3	Very good 4	Exellent 5
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------

1. Formal correctness of the contents, balance between pictures and texts
2. Originality of the presentation format

CLARITY OF THE PRODUCT PRESENTED

LEVEL

Not sufficient 0	Sufficient 1	Quite good 2	Good 3	Very good 4	Exellent 5
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------

1. Clear and accurate presentation of content
2. Respect for the established presentation times (10 minutes)
3. Scientifically appropriate and informative language

SYMPATHY AND EMPATHY OF PRESENTATION

LEVEL

Not sufficient 0	Sufficient 1	Quite good 2	Good 3	Very good 4	Exellent 5
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------

1. Did I find the product presented appealing?
2. Did I find the product engaging?
3. Did I appreciate the group's presentation style? (did they stand out from the others in their presentation style?)

VISUAL/EXHIBITIONAL/MEDIA SPECIAL EFFECTS

LEVEL

Not sufficient 0	Sufficient 1	Quite good 2	Good 3	Very good 4	Exellent 5
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------

1. Innovative special effects
2. Non-redundant and/or excessive special effects
3. Special effects appropriate to the product presented

The overall rating is derived from the average of the individual ratings.

OVERALL RATING: date.....

➤ **23 december**

- communication to the students of the final rating assigned to each group

Please note that the results of the assessments will influence the oral exam grade by a maximum of 3 points for each member of the working group.

Risultato valutazione	Punti
0-1.9	1 punto
2-3.9	2 punti
4-5	3 punti

The final ratings will be obtained by the peer and teacher rates