A gallery of plots

Comparing categories

The total population in Brazil exceeds that of other countries

(Millions of people)



Sorting categories by the displayed value is a good idea

The total population in Brazil exceeds that of other countries

(Millions of people)

250



The axis should always start at 0!

China and India are the most populous countries in the world

(Millions of people)



China and India are the most populous countries in the world

(Millions of people)



Breaking the bars is never a good idea



China and India are the most populous countries in the world

Source: The World Bank

(Millions of people)

In alternativa, usate due grafici



The total population in Brazil exceeds that of other countries (Millions of people)





Consider replacing the grid lines with direct value labeling

There are more women than men in each country except for Ethiopia

(Millions of people)



Paired bar charts favor comparing values within and between groups, but hide the total

Difference between the number of women and men

(Millions of people)



If the focus is on differences, consider showing those directly

Social expenditures for 10 OECD countries

(Percent of GDP)



Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

Stacked bar charts favor comparing the totals

Social expenditures for 10 OECD countries

(Percent of GDP)



(Percent of GDP)

Faceting the components can help comparing the segments

Social expenditures for 10 OECD countries

PISA scores for math and reading among 10 OECD countries



Source: Programme for International Student Assessment

Dot plots allow to represent differences between two categories

PISA scores for math and reading among 10 OECD countries



Source: Programme for International Student Assessment

Labels and sorting further highlight the data

Measles incidence in the United States from 1928 to 2012



Source: Data from Project Tycho, https://www.tycho.pitt.edu/data

How can we improve this spaghetti mess?

Measles incidence in the United States from 1928 to 2012



A heatmap can go a long way in showing patterns across many categories

Vehicle fatalities in 2015





When are most fatalities happening?





A heatmap arranged as a calendar shows that weekends see more fatalities.

Time-evolving data

Total health care spending in the United States and Germany increased between 2000 and 2015

(Percent of GDP)



Many lines can provide the background for a few highlighted ones

Health care spending across major countries has largely increased since 2000

(Percent of GDP)



Faceting helps in breaking down dense line charts







We are inclined in thinking the bottom is the zero: so mark explicitly when this is not the case



Use either gaps or other line styles to denote missing data



Another issues with dual-axis plots is that we are drawn to the intersection of the line, whose position is arbitrary, depending on the scales.

Housing debt in the United States has declined since 2008



Solution: produce two plots aligned vertically, which allows to put annotation markers

(Percent pointchange since 2000) 2





Solution: normalize the values, or consider the percent point change

The U.S. economy appears supportive of the consumer with low-unemployment rate and housing debt

(Household debt service ratio)



Source: Household debt service ratio, Federal Reserve Board of Governors; Unemployment rate, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Unemployment rate averaged to quarters.

Solution: connected scatter plot



Biggest changes in the unemployment rate between, January 2000 and January 2018

Biggest changes in the unemployment rate between, January 2000 and January 2018



A slope graph allows to make several comparisons simultaneously



A slope graph can include many gray lines as a background



More than 60,000 people died from drug overdoses in 2016

More than 60,000 people died from drug overdoses in 2016



Area charts allow to see the evolution of the sum of several categories. Beware: the bottom area is the most readable

Causes of death by age in the United States in 2017



Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

When normalized, stacked area charts allow to see the changes in distribution



Using the order of the leaves of a hierarchical clustering of data allows to effectively group time series by similarity.

Distributions



MEN'S EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN 2016

WOMEN'S EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN 2016



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Histograms are one of the basic tools to show distributions



MEN'S AND WOMEN'S EARNINGS DISTRIBUTIONS IN 2016

eo,ooo Men Women



Overlay (with transparency) or use different aesthetics to save space

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MEN AND WOMEN JAPAN, 2016



AGE DISTRIBUTION OF MEN AND WOMEN

UNITED STATES, 2016

Pyramid charts are often used to compare the distribution of two categories

EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN U.S. INDUSTRIES



Box plots allow to compare the (rough) distribution of different populations
EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN U.S. INDUSTRIES



Violin plots show an estimate of the entire distribution of the data

EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN U.S. INDUSTRIES



Ridgeline plots achieve the same, by using a more compact display



Clever use of color and annotations allows to convey more information

Each dot represents a different state



You can show the distribution of data by showing the data itself

EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN U.S. INDUSTRIES

(Major industries by state)



Average Income

A beeswarm plot displaces points to give a cleaner visualization

EARNINGS DISTRIBUTION IN U.S. INDUSTRIES



A raincloud plot combines all of the above

AVERAGE EARNINGS IN U.S. INDUSTRIES IN 2016



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Error bars are a classic: just make sure to state what they mark

MEDIAN INCOME FOR DIFFERENT U.S. INDUSTRIES IN 2016



Source: U.S. Census Bureau Note: FIRE = Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate

Gradient charts provide a more nuanced representation of the error distribution

MEDIAN INCOME IN THE UNITED STATES FROM 1967 TO 2017



A more readable alternative shows discretized steps (in this case: standard error)

Relationship



A scatterplot (or bubble plot) visualizes the relationship between two variables



Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

Parallel coordinates compare multiple attributes



Economic well-being in the United States

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

Radar charts are parallel coordinates plots in polar coordinates



Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

The comparison of multiple lines can be confusing



Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

Faceting by multiple variables, with a common reference, helps comparing multiple variables

World Migration



A correlation matrix shows the pairwise relationship (not necessarily the correlation) of multiple categories, using color to encode the values

World Migration



World Migration Africa.

| | | | | ALC . | | | | P540 | | | entope | | Lan | Antie | 1.0 0. | paix. | 122 | - COC | saues | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| | | Eastern | Middle | Northern | Southern | Western | Central - | Eastern | South-Eastern | Southern | Western | Eastern | Northern | Southern | Western | Caribbean | Central America | South America | North America | Australia/New Ze- | Melanesia | Micronesia | Polymesia - |
| Africa | Eastern | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | | | 0 | 0 | ۰ | | ۰ |
| | Middle | Õ | Õ | Ō | 0 | 0 | | 0 | • | | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | | | |
| | Northern | Õ | ō | Õ | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Southern | ŏ | Õ | õ | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| | Western | õ | Õ | 0 | õ | Ó | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | õ | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | • | • | 0 | 0 |
| Asia | Central | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ó | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
| | Eastern | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | ŏ | Õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ŏ | 0 | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| | South-Eastern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | ŏ | Õ | ð | 0 | 0 | Õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | õ | 0 | • | 0 | |
| | Southern | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | Õ | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | | • |
| | Western | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | ŏ | ð | ð | ŏ | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | õ | 0 | • | 0 | |
| Europe | Eastern | o | 0 | ŏ | 0 | 0 | Õ | Õ | ŏ | ŏ | ð | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 0 | • | 0 | õ | 0 | • | • | • |
| | Northern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ŏ | Õ | Õ | Õ | ŏ | ŏ | ð | ŏ | ð | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | 0 | 0 | | • |
| | Southern | ŏ | Õ | Õ | õ | ŏ | Ó | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ð | ŏ | ð | ð | ŏ | Ó | õ | ŏ | õ | • | • | • |
| | Western | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 0 | ŏ | Õ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ð | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | õ | ŏ | ŏ | õ | • | • | • |
| Latin America. | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | õ | õ | õ | õ | õ | ŏ | õ | ŏ | Õ | 0 | ŏ | õ | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| and Caribbean | Central America | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | õ | ŏ | Ô | õ | ŏ | 0 | | | |
| | South America | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Õ | Õ | Õ | ŏ | ŏ | ŏ | 0 | • | • | 0 |
| North America | North America | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ó | 0 | 0 | Õ | 0 | 0 | Õ | Õ | Õ | ŏ | Õ | Õ | Õ | Õ | õ | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Oceania | Australia/New Zealand | Õ | 0 | Õ | Ó | Ó | 0 | Ó | Ó | Ó | Ó | Ó | Ó | Ó | Ó | õ | õ | Õ | Ó | Ó | | 0 | 0 |
| | Melanesia | 0 | | 0 | | | | 0 | 0 | õ | | 0 | õ | 0 | Ó | | | | 0 | õ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Micronesia | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | • | 0 | ۰ | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Polynesia | • | • | 0 | | • | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Asia

Europe

Latin America a. Nor.

Oceania

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development.

Source: Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

Other symbols and aesthetics can be used, thus freeing color for grouping purposes



For graph data consider the graphviz package and the networkx library



An interesting alternative for visualizing graphs are *hive charts*

Part-to-whole



Distribution of imported goods to the United States in 2016

(Percent of total imports)

Pie charts are the go-to tool for part-to-whole visualizations



A bar chart is often more effective

25



Distribution of imported goods to the United States in 2016

Source: The World Bank

Treemaps can show hierarchical data

Distribution of imported goods to the United States in 2016



Source: The World Bank

Sunburst diagrams can too, and are very similar to pie charts

Qualitative

Tables

| Country | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Country | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---------------|-------|------|------|------|---------------|-------|------|------|------|
| China | 7.23 | 6.76 | 6.36 | 6.12 | China | 7.23 | 6.76 | 6.36 | 6.12 |
| India | 5.10 | 6.14 | 6.90 | 5.89 | India | 5.10 | 6.14 | 6.90 | 5.89 |
| United States | 0.96 | 1.80 | 2.09 | 0.74 | United States | 0.96 | 1.80 | 2.09 | 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 4.24 | 3.73 | 3.65 | 3.85 | Indonesia | 4.24 | 3.73 | 3.65 | 3.85 |
| Mexico | -0.06 | 1.45 | 1.90 | 1.68 | Mexico | -0.06 | 1.45 | 1.90 | 1.68 |
| Pakistan | 2.21 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.44 | Pakistan | 2.21 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.44 |

Offset titles from body

| Role | Name | ID | Start Date | Quarterly Profit | Percent Change |
|------------|-------------------|-------|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Operations | Waylon Dalton | A1873 | May-11 | 5692.88 | 34.1 |
| Operations | Justine Henderson | B56 | Jan-10 | 4905.02 | 43.522 |
| Operations | Abdullah Lang | J5867 | Jun-14 | 4919.53 | 38 |
| Operations | Marcu Cruz | B395 | Dec-13 | 9877.52 | 37.1 |
| Research | Thalia Cobb | C346 | Apr-13 | 3179.49 | -9 |
| Research | Mathias Little | D401 | Mar-11 | 5080.26 | 3.2 |
| Research | Eddie Randolph | A576 | Jul-18 | 7218.24 | 43.1 |
| Contracts | Angela Walker | B31 | Feb-18 | 6207.53 | -1.788 |
| Contracts | Lia Shelton | C840 | Jan-16 | 1070.61 | 4.31 |
| Contracts | Hadassah Hartman | D411 | Nov-15 | 3735.96 | 3.01 |

| Role | Name | ID | Start Date | Quarterly Profit | Percent Change |
|------------|-------------------|-------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Operations | Waylon Dalton | A1873 | May-11 | \$5,693 | 34.1 |
| | Justine Henderson | B56 | Jan-10 | 4,905 | 43.5 |
| | Abdullah Lang | J5867 | Jun-14 | 4,920 | 38.0 |
| | Marcu Cruz | B395 | Dec-13 | 9,878 | 37.1 |
| Research | Thalia Cobb | C346 | Apr-13 | 3,179 | -9.0 |
| | Mathias Little | D401 | Mar-11 | 5,080 | 3.2 |
| | Eddie Randolph | A576 | Jul-18 | 7,218 | 43.1 |
| Contracts | Angela Walker | B31 | Feb-18 | 6,208 | -1.8 |
| | Lia Shelton | C840 | Jan-16 | 1,071 | 4.3 |
| | Hadassah Hartman | D411 | Nov-15 | 3,736 | 3.0 |

Use subtle subdividers instead of heavy grid lines

| | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| China | 6,894.40 | 6,894.40 | 6,894.40 |
| India | 1,862.43 | 1,862.43 | 1,862.43 |
| United States | 52,319.10 | 52,319.10 | 52,319.10 |
| Indonesia | 3,974.73 | 3,974.73 | 3,974.73 |
| Mexico | 9,871.67 | 9,871.67 | 9,871.67 |
| Pakistan | 1,179.41 | 1,179.41 | 1,179.41 |
| Average | 12,683.62 | 12,683.62 | 12,683.62 |

Right align numbers and headers

| Right-aligned and hard to read | Centered and even harder to read | Left-aligned and easiest to read |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| British Virgin Islands | British Virgin Islands | British Virgin Islands |
| Cayman Islands | Cayman Islands | Cayman Islands |
| Democratic Republic of Korea | Democratic Republic of Korea | Democratic Republic of Korea |
| Luxembourg | Luxembourg | Luxembourg |
| United States | United States | United States |
| Germany | Germany | Germany |
| New Zealand | New Zealand | New Zealand |
| Costa Rica | Costa Rica | Costa Rica |
| Peru | Peru | Peru |

Left align text and header

| Country | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Country | | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|----|-------|-------|-------|
| China | 6.76% | 6.36% | 6.12% | China | | 6.76% | 6.36% | 6.12% |
| India | 6.14% | 6.90% | 5.89% | India | | 6.14 | 6.90 | 5.89 |
| United States | 1.80% | 2.09% | 0.74% | United State | es | 1.80 | 2.09 | 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 3.73% | 3.65% | 3.85% | Indonesia | | 3.73 | 3.65 | 3.85 |
| Mexico | -0.38% | -4.37% | -4.25% | Mexico | | -0.38 | -4.37 | -4.25 |
| Pakistan | 2.51% | 2.61% | 3.44% | Pakistan | | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.44 |
| Average | 3.43% | 2.87% | 2.63% | Average | | 3.43 | 2.87 | 2.63 |

Remove unit repetition

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| China | 10.10 | 9.01 | 7.33 | 7.23 | 6.76 | 6.36 | 6.12 |
| India | 8.76 | 5.25 | 4.13 | 5.10 | 6.14 | 6.90 | 5.89 |
| United States | 1.68 | 0.85 | 1.46 | 0.96 | 1.80 | 2.09 | 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 4.83 | 4.79 | 4.68 | 4.24 | 3.73 | 3.65 | 3.85 |
| Brazil | 6.50 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 2.07 | -0.38 | -4.37 | -4.25 |
| Pakistan | -0.48 | 0.61 | 1.34 | 2.21 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.44 |
| Nigeria | 5.00 | 2.12 | 1.52 | 2.61 | 3.52 | -0.02 | -4.16 |
| Bangladesh | 4.40 | 5.25 | 5.28 | 4.77 | 4.84 | 5.37 | 5.96 |
| Russia | 4.46 | 5.20 | 3.48 | 1.57 | -1.04 | -3.04 | -0.41 |
| Mexico | 3.49 | 2.12 | 2.15 | -0.06 | 1.45 | 1.90 | 1.58 |

Highlight outliers

| - | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| China | 10.10 | 9.01 | 7.33 | 7.23 | 6.76 | 6.36 | 6.12 |
| India | 8.76 | 5.25 | 4.13 | 5.10 | 6.14 | 6.90 | 5.89 |
| United States | 1.68 | 0.85 | 1.46 | 0.96 | 1.80 | 2.09 | 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 4.83 | 4.79 | 4.68 | 4.24 | 3.73 | 3.65 | 3.85 |
| Brazil | 6.50 | 3.00 | 0.98 | 2.07 | -0.38 | -4.37 | -4.25 |
| Pakistan | -0.48 | 0.61 | 1.34 | 2.21 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.44 |
| Nigeria | 5.00 | 2.12 | 1.52 | 2.61 | 3.52 | -0.02 | -4.16 |
| Bangladesh | 4.40 | 5.25 | 5.28 | 4.77 | 4.84 | 5.37 | 5.96 |
| Russia | 4.46 | 5.20 | 3.48 | 1.57 | -1.04 | -3.04 | -0.41 |
| Mexico | 3.49 | 2.12 | 2.15 | -0.06 | 1.45 | 1.90 | 1.58 |

Highlight outliers

| | | Per Capita | GDP | Percent |
|---------------|---------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Region | Country | 2015 | 2016 | Change |
| Asia | China | 6496.62 | 6894 | 6.1238 |
| Asia | India | 1758.84 | 1862 | 5.8898 |
| North America | United States | 51933.40 | 52319 | 0.7428 |
| Asia | Indonesia | 3827.55 | 3975 | 3.8453 |
| North America | Brazil | 11351.57 | 10869 | -4.2541 |
| Asia | Pakistan | 1140.21 | 1179 | 3.4387 |
| Africa | Nigeria | 2562.52 | 2456 | -4.1601 |
| Asia | Bangladesh | 971.64 | 1030 | 5.9627 |
| North America | Mexico | 9717.90 | 9872 | 1.5824 |
| Asia | Japan | 47163.49 | 47661 | 1.0546 |
| Africa | Ethiopia | 487.29 | 511 | 4.9041 |
| Middle East | Egypt | 2665.35 | 2726 | 2.2633 |
| Europe | Germany | 45412.56 | 45923 | 1.1240 |
| Middle East | Iran | 6007.00 | 6734 | 12.1010 |
| Middle East | Turkey | 13898.75 | 14117 | 1.5734 |
| Europe | France | 41642.31 | 41969 | 0.7845 |
| Average | | 15440 | 15631 | 2.6860 |

| | | Per Cap | oita GDP | Percent | |
|---------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|--|
| Region | Country | 2015 | 2016 | Change | |
| Africa | Ethiopia | 487 | 511 | 4.90 | |
| | Nigeria | 2,563 | 2,456 | -4.16 | |
| Asia | Bangladesh | 972 | 1,030 | 5.96 | |
| | China | 6,497 | 6,894 | 6.12 | |
| | India | 1,759 | 1,862 | 5.89 | |
| | Indonesia | 3,838 | 3,975 | 3.85 | |
| | Japan | 47,163 | 47,661 | 1.05 | |
| | Pakistan | 1,140 | 1,179 | 3.44 | |
| Europe | France | 41,642 | 41,969 | 0.78 | |
| | Germany | 45,413 | 45,923 | 1.12 | |
| Middle East | Egypt | 2,665 | 2,726 | 2.26 | |
| | Iran | 6,007 | 6,734 | 12.10 | |
| | Turkey | 13,899 | 14,117 | 1.57 | |
| North America | Mexico | 9,718 | 9,872 | 1.58 | |
| | United States | 51,933 | 52,319 | 0.74 | |
| South America | Brazil | 11,352 | 10,869 | -4.25 | |
| Average | | 15,440 | 15,631 | 2.69 | |

Group similar data and increase white space

| Country | 2007 | 2016 | 2007-2016 | Country | 2016 |
|---------------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|------|
| China | 13.64 | 6.12 | ~ | China | 6.12 |
| India | 8.15 | 5.89 | ~~~~ | India | 5.89 |
| United States | 0.82 | 0.74 | \sim | United States | 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 4.91 | 3.85 | \sim | Indonesia | 3.85 |
| Mexico | 0.70 | 1.58 | ~~~• | Mexico | 1.58 |
| Pakistan | 2.72 | 3.44 | ~~~ | Pakistan | 3.44 |
| Average | 5.15 | 3.60 | \sim | Average | 3.60 |

Add visualizations when appropriate

| Country | 2007 | 2016 |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| China | 13.64 | 6.12 |
| India | 8.15 | 5.89 |
| United States | -0.82 | - 0.74 |
| Indonesia | 4.91 | 3.85 |
| Mexico | - 0.7 | — 1.58 |
| Pakistan | — 2.72 | 3.44 |
| Average | 5.86 | — 2.63 |

Add visualizations when appropriate

| \begin{center} |
|--|
| \begin{tabular}{lll} |
| category & value & parameters \\ |
| \midrule |
| A & \lollipop{200}{480} & blah blah \\ |
| B & \lollipop{300}{480} & blih bleh \\ |
| C & \lollipop{150}{480} & bluh bluh \\ |
| \bottomrule |
| \end{tabular} |
| \end{center} |

| category | value | parameters |
|--------------|----------------|------------|
| A | —— •200 | blah blah |
| В | | blih bleh |
| \mathbf{C} | —— •150 | bluh bluh |

The first argument of \lollipop is the value, the second is the maximum

```
\usepackage{tikz}
\usepackage{pgfplots}
\usepackage{booktabs}
\newcommand{\lollipop}[2]{
  \begin{tikzpicture}
    \begin{axis}[
        hide axis,
        height=1em,
        width=5em,
        xmax=#2,
        xmin=0,
        ymin=-0.01,
        ymax=1,
       % axis on top, major grid style={draw=black},xmajorgrids,
        scale only axis % So that we don't get size errors
      \addplot[black, thick] coordinates { (0,0.5) (#1,0.5) };
      \filldraw [red] (axis cs:#1,0.5) circle (2pt);
      \node[anchor=south west, inner xsep=0, inner ysep=.2em] at (axis cs:#1*1.1,0) {
          \small \pgfmathprintnumber[fixed,1000 sep={\,}]{#1}
    \end{axis}
  \end{tikzpicture}
```

Here's the definition of \lollipop