

Most complete reference ADAMS-FOURNIER "Sobolev spaces"

$$W^{k,p}(U) = \{ u \in L^p(U) \mid \underbrace{D^\alpha u}_{\text{weak-derivative}} \in L^p(U) \quad \forall |\alpha| \leq k \}$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_p = \text{(an equivalent norm)} \\ \text{" per } p < +\infty \\ = \left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha u\|_p^p \right]^{1/p}$$

$$\textcircled{\bullet} W^{1,p}(a,b) \subseteq AC(a,b)$$

$$W^{1,1}(a,b) = AC(a,b)$$

also $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$W^{1,p}(a,b) \not\subseteq AC(a,b)$$

$$\subseteq C^{0,1-\frac{1}{p}}(a,b)$$

$$\textcircled{\bullet} \text{ In } U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad W^{1,p}(U) \not\subseteq C(U) \quad \text{if } p \leq n$$

$$W^{1,p} \stackrel{n > 1}{\subseteq} \text{close} \subseteq L^p \times (L^p \times \dots \times L^p)$$

Def $W_0^{k,p}(U) = \overline{C_c^\infty(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}} \subseteq W^{k,p}(U)$ CLOSED SUBSPACE

$W^{k,p}(U)$, $W_0^{k,p}(U)$ are reflexive for $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

Duals?

obs $W^{1,p}(U) \subseteq \underbrace{L^p(U) \times [L^q(U) \times \dots \times L^p(U)]}_{n \text{ times}}$ dual here

is $(L^{p'}) \times \dots \times (L^{p'})$

Obs $W_0^{k,p}(U) = \overline{C_c^\infty(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{k,p}}$

$p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$

So $(W_0^{k,p}(U))'$ is a space of distributions ($\subseteq \mathcal{D}'(U)$)

(indeed if $T \in (W_0^{k,p}(U))' \implies T|_{C_c^\infty(U)} \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ and moreover

if $T=S$ on $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ $T(\phi) = S(\phi) \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(U) \implies T=S$ on $(W_0^{k,p}(U))'$

$(W^{k,p}(U))'$ is NOT A SPACE of distributions
 (in the sense $T \in (W^{k,p}(U))' \Rightarrow T|_{C_c^\infty(U)} \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$ but

this restriction does not identify T (there are different $S \in (W^{k,p}(U))'$ such that $T|_{C_c^\infty(U)} = S|_{C_c^\infty(U)}$).

$$(W^{1,2}(0,1))' \quad T: W^{1,2}(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad T|_{C_c^\infty(0,1)} \equiv 0$$

$$u \mapsto \int_0^1 u'(t) dt$$

more generally one considers $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^2(U)$ s. that $\sum_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ in the sense of distributions

and $T(v) = \int_U \sum_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx \neq 0$ but $T \equiv 0$ as $\mathcal{D}'(U)$

Consider the case $p=2$, so $W^{k,2}(U)$ is Hilbert space

take $k=1$

by Riesz

$$T \in W_0^{1,2}(U) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\exists f \in W_0^{1,2}(U) \text{ s.t. } \forall g \in W_0^{1,2}(U) \\ T(g) = \int_U f \cdot g + \sum_i \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}$$

$$T \in W^{1,2}(U) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\exists f \in W^{1,2}(U) \text{ s.t. } \forall g \in W^{1,2}(U)$$

$$T(g) = \int_U f \cdot g + \sum_i \int_U \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}$$

The fact is that in general it is better not to identify a Hilbert space and its dual.

If $V \subseteq H$ Hilbert then $V' \supseteq H'$ (but $H \simeq H'$, $V \simeq V'$)...

$$W_0^{1,2}(U) \subseteq L^2(U) \subseteq (W_0^{1,2}(U))'$$

↑ obvious

(I want to characterize $(W_0^{1,2}(U))'$ as particular distributions...

$(W_0^{1,2}(U))'$ is a Banach space contained in $D'(U)$

Prop Let $T \in D'(U)$. Then $T \in (W_0^{1,2}(U))'$ if and only if

if $\exists f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^2(U)$ such that

$$T = T_{f_0} - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} T_{f_i} \quad \left(\text{that is } T(\phi) = \int_U f_0 \phi + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U f_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx \right)$$

$\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$

Proof

$\Rightarrow T \in (H_0^1(U))' \Rightarrow \overset{\text{Riesz}}{\exists} u \in H_0^1(U)$ s. that $T(v) = \int_U u v dx + \sum_i \int_U \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$

$f_0 = u \quad f_i = + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$

$\Leftarrow T$ is a distribution like this

$$|T(\phi)| \underset{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \|f_0\|_2 \|\phi\|_2 + \sum_i \|f_i\|_2 \|\phi_{x_i}\|_2 \leq$$

$$\leq [\|f_0\|_2 + \sum_i \|f_i\|_2] \|\phi\|_{W^{1,2}} \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$$

$\rightarrow T$ can be extended to a linear cont functional

on $H_0^1(U) = W_0^{1,2}(U)$. with norm $\|T\| = [\|f_0\|_2 + \sum_i \|f_i\|_2]$.

Obs By Riesz theorem in Hilbert spaces it is also true that $\exists g \in W^{1,2}(U)$ such that $T \in (W^{1,2}(U))'$ \Rightarrow that

$$T(\varphi) = \int_U \varphi g \, dx + \sum_i \int_U \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

as a distribution

$$\boxed{\text{So } T = Tg + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Tg = Tg + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Tg}$$

but NOT all $T \in (W^{1,2}(U))'$ corresponds to distributions written as $Tg_0 + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Tg_i$ $g_0, g_i \in C^2$.

(there are $T \in (W^{1,2}(U))'$ which are 0 if computed on $C_c^\infty(U)$.)

DENSITY RESULTS (density of smooth functions)

Lemma $f \in W^{k,p}(U)$ $p \in [1, +\infty)$

there $\forall U' \subset\subset U$ (U' open ball, $\bar{U}' \subset U$)

$f * \eta_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(U')$ for ε suff. small and $f * \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ in $W^{k,p}(U')$

This means that

$f * \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ in $W^{k,p}_{loc}(U)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Fix U' $\varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U', \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U) > 0$

$$\forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \quad f * \eta_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} f(x-y) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dy \in C^\infty(U') \quad (x-y \in U)$$

$f * \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(U')$. We compute $D^\alpha(f * \eta_\varepsilon)$ in weak sense: $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U')$

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{U'} D^\alpha \phi \cdot f * \eta_\varepsilon dx &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{U'} D^\alpha \phi(x) \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta_\varepsilon(y) f(x-y) dy dx = \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta_\varepsilon(y) \int_{U'} D^\alpha \phi(x) f(x-y) dx = \int_{B(0,\varepsilon)} \eta_\varepsilon(y) \int_{U'} D^\alpha f(x-y) \phi(x) dx = \int_{U'} (D^\alpha f * \eta_\varepsilon) \phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{U'} D^\alpha \phi f * \eta_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int \phi(D^\alpha f) * \eta_\varepsilon dx$$

$$\Rightarrow (D^\alpha \phi) * \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow D^\alpha \phi \text{ in } \mathcal{C}^p(U')$$

Theorem (MEYERS - SERLIN 1964) $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open
 $[\mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \cap W^{r,p}(U)]$ is dense in $W^{r,p}(U)$ $p \in [1, \infty)$

Obs 1 Not $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{U})!$ Obs 2 $\overline{\mathcal{C}^\infty(U) \cap W^{r,\infty}(U)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{r,\infty}}} = \mathcal{C}^k(U) \cap W^{r,\infty}(U)$

ex $\phi(x) = |x| \in W^{1,\infty}(-1,1)$ but $\nexists \phi_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(-1,1)$
 $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$

Let $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ ϕ_n is Cauchy $\Rightarrow \|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \|\phi'_n - \phi'_m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \phi'_n \rightarrow \phi'$ uniformly

Corollary $W_0^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \overline{\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{r,p}}}$

\rightarrow indeed $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\phi = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & |x| > 2 \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1 \quad \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$
CUT-OFF FUNCTION

$$\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni \psi_\varepsilon = \psi \cdot \phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \psi \text{ in } W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$

proof of Meyer's Lemma. $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$$U_k = \{x \in U \mid |x| < k \text{ and } \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U) > \frac{1}{k}\} \quad U_0 = \emptyset$$

$$V_k = U_{k+1} \setminus \overline{U}_k = \{x \in U, k-1 < |x| < k+1 \mid \frac{1}{k+1} < \text{dist}(x, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U) < \frac{1}{k-1}\}$$

$\overline{V}_k \subset U$ compact. ξ_k partition of unity

$$U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k$$
$$\xi_k \in C_c^\infty(U) \quad 0 \leq \xi_k \leq 1 \quad \text{supp } \xi_k \subset V_k$$
$$\forall A \subset U \quad \exists I_A \quad \#I_A < +\infty \quad \sum_{k \in I_A} \xi_k(x) = 1.$$

(for $A = \overline{V}_k \Rightarrow \xi_i \equiv 0 \quad i \geq k+2$)

Let $f \in W^{2,p}(U)$ By Lemma $(f \cdot \xi_k) * \eta_\varepsilon \rightarrow f \xi_k$ in $W^{2,p}(U_{k+2} \setminus \overline{U}_{k-2})$

Fix $\delta > 0$ and find $\varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} = \text{dist}(U_{k+2} \setminus \overline{U}_{k-2}, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U)$ (so $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$)

such that $\|f \xi_k * \eta_{\varepsilon_k} - f \xi_k\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{k+1}}$

ε_k depends on δ !

Note that $f = \sum_k f \xi_k$ (NOT INFINITE SUM!)

$$\phi = \sum_k \left[f \xi_k * \eta_{\varepsilon_k} \right] \in C^\infty(U)$$

the sum is finite

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } V_{k_0} \subset U \Rightarrow \| \phi - f \|_{W^{r,p}(V_{k_0})} &\leq \sum_{k \leq k_0+2} \| f \xi_k * \eta_{\varepsilon_k} - f \xi_k \|_{W^{r,p}(U)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \leq k_0+2} \frac{\delta}{2^{k+1}} \leq \sum_k \frac{\delta}{2^{k+1}} = \delta \end{aligned}$$

So $\forall \delta > 0 \exists \phi \in C^\infty(U)$ depending on δ such that
 $\| \phi - f \|_{W^{r,p}(U')} \leq \delta \quad \forall U' \subset \subset U$

conclude by taking the supremum on U'

or by monotone convergence theorem \square

