Vulnerability Analysis and Risk Management for Water-Related Hazards			
PART II: "Socio-economic valuation of risk perception"			
Rubina Canesi DICEA Rubina.canesi@unipd.it	Cristiano Franceschinis TESAF cristiano.franceschinis@unipd.it		
TESAF	SANNI VIIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA		

Content of "Economic approaches to risk valuation"

- 1. Overview of economic approaches to risk valuation
- 2. Revealed vs stated preference methods
- 3. Revealed preferences methods
 - Hedonic price
 - Travel cost
- 4. Stated preferences methods
 - Contingent valuation
 - Choice experiments
- 5. Case studies







A. Hedonic pricing

- The hedonic pricing (HP) method is used to estimate economic values for non-market goods and services (including risk protection) that directly affect market prices. HP is based on market transactions for differentiated goods in order to estimate the value of specific characteristics.
- It is most commonly applied to variations in housing prices that reflect the value of local environmental attributes. Seminal paper: Rosen (1974) developed the theoretical framework.
- It can be used to estimate benefits or costs associated with risk protection and the value of alternative risk management plans





A. Hedonic pricing

- The basic premise of HP method is that the **price of a marketed good is related to its characteristics** or the services it provides.
- The most common application of hedonic pricing in risk valuation involves **housing markets**. The choice of housing location and, therefore, neighborhood amenities, is observable.
- Often, location choice is directly linked to an environmental amenity of interest. For example, housing locations can offer different scenic vistas, or they can impose greater perceived risks by placing a household closer to perceived hazards
- As such, the choice of a house and its associated price implies an implicit choice over the environmental amenities (or disamenities) proximate to the house and their implicit prices.





A. Hedonic pricing

- The price of a house reflects its characteristics either in terms of <u>proximity to services</u> (school, hospital, etc.), <u>proximity to environmental amenities</u> (forest, park, lake, etc.) or <u>exposure to risk</u>
- The individual characteristics of a house or other good can be valued by looking at how people are willing to pay for its changes. The HP method is most often used to value non-market characteristics that affect the price of residential properties
- Hedonic pricing is an "indirect" valuation method because we infer the value people place on the characteristics from observable market transactions, rather than making a straightforward estimate





Università

degli Studi di Padova

A. Hedonic pricing

• The aim is to estimate a hedonic price function where the prices of properties (i.e. houses) are a function of some characteristics of the structure and some risk attributes of the houses (air quality, lake water quality, proximity to a forest):

$$P = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_1 z_1 + \gamma_2 z_2 + \dots + \gamma_n z_n$$

- where the dependent variable (P) is the sales price of a property, the independent variables are the structural characteristics (x) and the **risk characteristics (z)** of the commodity.
- Ex. size (square meters) of the property and a <u>risk index of the area where the property</u> <u>is located</u>

Università

degli Studi di Padova







Example of hedonic price function $P = 25,899 + 6,790 \cdot \ln(SQFT) + 83 \cdot FRONT - 1,516 \cdot DIST + 11,572 \cdot HEAT + 23,465 \cdot BATH + 2.057 \cdot WQ$ **Dependent variable:** sales price of a property Independent variables: SQFT: area of the structure on the property FRONT: length of the property's frontage on the lake • DIST: the distance between property and nearest town HEAT: dummy variables whether or not the structure has central • heating BATH: a full-bath WQ water quality (expressed in terms of water clarity) Università **TESAF** DEGLI STUDI di Padova







Data 2: Property attributes

Neighborhood characteristics deal with:

- 1. <u>quality</u>: quality of local school, level of crime, average income. Source: government census.
- 2. <u>location</u>: distance (km) to the town centre, nearest shopping centres, train station, nearest light rail or bus stop, nearest motorway exit . GIS software packages can be of help.

Environmental amenities/disamenities deal with:

- 1. <u>quality</u>: pollution level of the area, clarity of the water of the lake where the property is located, <u>risk measures</u>. Scientific measures of the variables provided by local environmental authorities are used.
- 2. <u>location</u>: distance (km) to open spaces, forests, urban parks, lakes, landfills or quarries. GIS are often used.

1222 • 2022

Università

degli Studi di Padova

TESAF







Functional form	Equation	Implicit prices		
Linear	$P = \alpha_0 + \sum \beta_i z_i$	$\partial P / \partial z_i = \beta_i$		
Semi-log	$\ln P = \alpha_0 + \sum \beta_i z_i$	$\partial P / \partial z_i = \beta_i \cdot P$		
Double-log Quadratic	$\ln P = \alpha_0 + \sum \beta_i \ln z_i$	$\partial P / \partial z_i = \beta_i \cdot P / z_i$		
	$P = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i z_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} z_i z_j$	$\partial P / \partial z_i = \beta_i \cdot P / z + \sum_{j \neq 1}^N \delta_{ij} z_j + \delta_{ii} z_i$		
Quadratic Box-Cox	$P^{(\theta)} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i z_i^{(\lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \delta_{ij} z_i^{(\lambda)} z_j^{(\lambda)}$	$\partial P / \partial z_i = \left(\beta_i z_i^{(\lambda)} + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{ij} z_i^{(\lambda-1)} z_j^{(\lambda)}\right) P^{1-\theta}$		
TES	SAF	S S S S S S S S S S		

Model estimation: choice of the functional form and implicit price

- The linear functional form is straight: it allows the implicit price for any specific attribute (structural or property characteristics, neighborhood issues and risk attributes) to equal the respective estimated coefficients.
- The price of the house differs for each specific attribute but is constant within each attribute. This raises an issue: the influence some risk characteristics have on the price might be non-linear.
- Sales prices of contaminated sites are of course negatively impacted, but the price of sales decreases at an increasing rate with shorter distance. Hence, linear hedonic approaches should be avoided, because of their inability to allow for incremental changes.





Università **DEGLI STUDI** DI PADOVA

Case Study 1: The effects of landslide hazard on property value in Woomyeon Nature Park area, South Korea (Kim J. et al., 2017) Università **DEGLI STUDI** TESAF di Padova 18



Motivation

- The study explores the effects of mountains as both amenity and hazard factors on property values before and after a landslide event in Woomyeon Nature Park (WNP) in Seoul.
- During a long rainy season from June 22 to July 17, 2011, the Seoul are recorded the secondhighest precipitation since 1973, weakening the slopes of Woomyeon Mountain. This led to a landslide on Woomyeon Mountain on July 27th. The landslide resulted in property damage of more than 16 billion won (equivalent to 16 million U.S. dollars), 18 deaths, and 21 injuries, which was one of the largest natural disasters in Seoul since 2000
- After the investigation into the causes of the landslide, the Seoul Government finished the restoration, including the reinforcing slopes, in July 2012. The path of the debris flow was repaired by constructing several debris barriers and stone-channels.







Sample

- A total of 5758 transactions in Seoul from 2008 to 2014, based on the sales data of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transport (MLIT).
- Only apartment-type housing according to the the Korean Building code, defined as high-rise condominiums or multifamily housing with five stories or more, are included.
- Only transactions of apartments located within a distance of one kilometer from the boundary of the WNP in order to control the effects of different housing submarkets.







Risk measure

- The hazard of landslide was reviewed using the landslide risk map of the Korea Forest Service. The risk of landslide is mainly determined by the gradient, length and location of a slope, types of ground, depth of soil, conditions of forest, and other factors.
- The Korea Forest Service classifies the risk of landslide into five classes: 1st (very high), 2nd (high), 3rd (low), 4th (very low), and 5th (no risk).
- The potential landslide hazard areas depend on the total travel distance of a debris flow which is affected by the height of a landslide starting point, the amount of precipitation, slopes, barriers, and many other factors, implying that quantifying the landslide hazard for nearby housing is complex.





UNIVERSITÀ

degli Studi di Padova

Risk measure

• In fact, the damage from the landslide of the Woomyeon Mountain in July 2011 was observed in apartment complexes having various landslide risks ranging from 1st to 4th class, and the travel distances of debris varied depending on location.

1222 • 2022

• Therefore, the measures the hazard effect of the WNP indirectly using the differences in coefficients of WNP proximity variables before and after the landslide.



Dataset (1/2)			
Variables	Description	Expected results	
Log(Sale price)	Log value of housing sale price adjusted for the market trend	Dependent variable	
Property characteristics AREA	Area of exclusive use of a housing unit in an apartment complex (m ²)	(+). Larger houses are more expensive	
STORY	Story of a housing unit	(+). Housing on higher stories is more expensive due to the	
FIRST	First floor = 1; otherwise = 0	 (-). First floor housing units are less attractive due to security, daylight, and privacy issues. 	
AGE	Years since construction	AGE(-), AGESQ(+). Older housing is less expensive, but the	
AGESQ	Square of the property age	potential of reconstruction (30 years old or later) increases the housing value	
HIGHEST	The highest story in an apartment complex	(+). Apartment complexes having higher stories are preferred because these apartments are relatively new and provide a	
MIXED	Mixed-use building = 1; otherwise = 0	better site design due to the lower building-coverage ratio. (—). Mixed-use apartments have a relatively lower exclusive use area, thus having a lower price.	
		2022 N I UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA	

Dataset (2/2)			
Time variables SHORT LONG TREND	Dummy for the landslide restoration period: from August 2011 to July 2012 = 1; otherwise = 0; Dummy after completion of the restoration work: From August 2012 to December 2014 = 1; otherwise = 0; Monthly time dummies (reference: December 2014)	Controlling changes in the housing market after the landslide (short-term and long-term) Controlling changes in the housing market over time	
WNP effect WNP 100 ~WNP400	Dummy variables for distance to WNP – WNP100: 100 m or less – WNP200: 100.1 ~ 200 m – WNP300: 200.1 ~ 300 m – WNP400: 300.1 ~ 400 m (reference: more than 400 m)	(+): Proximity to WNP increases housing price. The premium of WNP proximity is close to zero at 400 m (roughly one-quarter mile).	
WNP dummies * SHORT WNP dummies * LONG	Interaction variable between WNP proximity dummies and SHORT Interaction variable between WNP proximity dummies and LONG	 (-): Proximity to WNP increases the hazard of landslide. (-): Proximity to WNP increases the hazard of landslide. This effect is smaller than the short-term effect (WNP dummies * SHORT) because the restoration work reduces the vulnerability of landslide. 	
Locational characteristics SCHDIS SUBDIS MTSTATION	Distance to the closest school (100 m) Distance to the closest subway station (100 m) Transfer station (2 or more subway lines)=1; otherwise=0	 (-). Proximity to schools increases housing price. (-). Proximity to subway stations increases housing price. (+). Proximity to transfer station means better accessibility to transit services, thus increasing housing price. 	
		VINVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA	
	TESAF	DC D DEGLI STUDI N N I DI PADOVA	







Implications of the study

- The fact that an urban nature park can have a hazard effect suggests the importance of disaster prevention efforts in urban open spaces. Such successful prevention efforts could reduce this hazard effect.
- Therefore, the design and management process of urban nature parks should incorporate disaster management planning to develop safe and pleasant urban open spaces.
- Specifically, local and central government should implement real-time mountain landslide hazard monitoring and prediction to provide hazard warnings in time to avoid or reduce losses.
- Local government should also develop and implement guidelines and training for planners and decision-makers in the use of accurate landslide hazard maps, assessments, and technical information for planning, preparedness, response, and mitigation.

TESAF

31

Implications of the study

- Following these suggestions, the Seoul Metropolitan Government recently approved a Master Plan of Parks and Urban Green Spaces for 2030, which includes disaster management and prevention strategies in urban open spaces.
- In preparing this plan, safety and risk factors for all slopes in mountain-type urban parks in Seoul were inspected and landslide mitigation planning was incorporated with park management planning (Seoul Metropolitan Government, 2015).





Università degli Studi di Padova

Università

degli Studi di Padova





Motivation As coastal population has been increasing rapidly in the US, there is an urgent need for effective policy prescriptions to combat coastal hazards. The results of the study provide valuable information to policy makers, since the costs of beach erosion and coastal flooding need to be determined accurately so that optimal adaptive strategies to lessen the impact of sea-level rise can be enacted. As such, the study explores how the risks associated with beach erosion and coastal flooding are capitalized into residential property prices.



		Dataset		
Variables included in the	ne hed	onic pricing model		
	Variable	Description	Source	
	singfam	1 if property is a single-family home; 0 otherwise	Beaufort County Assessor's Office (BCAO)	
	pbaccess	Distance to nearest public beach access point (meters)	ArcGIS Pro	
	footage	Size of the living space in the property (square feet)	BCAO	
	numbed	Number of bedrooms	Zillow	
	numbath	Number of bathrooms	Zillow	
	garage	1 if property has garage space; 0 otherwise	Zillow	
	gated	1 if property is located in a gated community; 0 otherwise	Zillow	
	waterfr	1 if property is oceanfront or marshfront; 0 otherwise	ArcGIS Pro	
	shrate	Change in shoreline position (in meters) per year.	USGS [12]	
	shdum	1 if annual change in shoreline position is negative; 0 if positive.	USGS [12]	
	dbeach	Distance to the nearest beach (in meters)	ArcGIS Pro	
	flrisk	1 if the property is located where flood risk is high or very high; 0 if the flood risk is low or very low.	Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)	
	year	Year of sale, 1 (2011) to 6 (2016)	BCAO	
TES	AF	8 ¹²²²⁻²⁰²² A N N I	Università degli Studi di Padova	





The hed	onic pricing est	imates	
Variable	Parameter	Standard Error	
spatial	0.16516 ** (0.17058)	- 0.08162	
Pbaccess (meters)	0.00004 ***	0.00001	
Footage (square feet)	0.00004 ***	0.00001	
numbed	0.05296 ** (0.05438)	0.02322	
numbath	0.15054 *** (0.16246)	0.01998	
garage	0.07195 (0.0746)	0.04751	
mstory	-0.09457 ** (-0.09024)	0.03777	
gated	0.20917 *** (0.23265)	0.05844	
waterfr	0.64862 *** (0.9128)	0.67407	
shdum	-0.35015 *** (-0.2954)	0.08787	
Disteros (square meters)	-0.00027 ***	0.00006	
flrisk	-0.15888 *** (-0.1469)	0.04126	
location effects		yes	
year fixed effects		yes	
ln L	0.605	-280	
R ²	0.605	105	
		495	
	*** <i>p</i> < 0.0	$p_{1, **} p < 0.05, * p < 0.10.$	
TESAF	81222-2022 A N N I	UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA	

Implications of the study

- Capitalization of erosion risk is an important first step to produce an accurate cost benefit analysis of best management practices to combat this problem.
- Hard stabilization and beach nourishment projects are some of the practices that provide not only recreational benefits to the residents and the property owners but also protection to the nearby properties serving as buffers from beach erosion.
- It is critical to know the true cost of coastal erosion or benefit of reducing its risk so optimal policy strategies and programs can be implemented.

Università

degli Studi di Padova

TESAF

