## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Automata, Languages and Computation

Chapter 3 : Regular Expressions

Master Degree in Computer Engineering University of Padua Lecturer : Giorgio Satta

Lecture based on material originally developed by : Gösta Grahne, Concordia University

#### Regular Expressions



#### Parse: username@domain.TLD (top level domain)



2 [FA and regular expressions : the two language classes are the](#page-17-0) [same](#page-17-0)



3 [Algebraic laws for regular expressions](#page-34-0)

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Introduction

A FA (NFA or DFA) is a "blueprint" for **constructing** a machine recognizing a regular language

Though a FA can be easily implemented, it is often difficult to interpret its meaning

A regular expression is a "user-friendly," **declarative** way of describing a regular language

## Introduction

#### **Example** :  $01^* + 10^*$  denotes all binary strings that

- start with 0 followed by zero or more 1's; or
- start with 1 followed by zero or more 0's

Regular expressions are used in

- UNIX grep command
- Perl programming language
- **•** pattern matching applications
- tools for automatic constructions of lexical analyzers

#### Operations on languages

**Union**: 
$$
L \cup M = \{w \mid w \in L \text{ or } w \in M\}
$$

**Concatenation** :  $L.M = \{w \mid w = xy, x \in L, y \in M\}$ Note : dot operator often omitted **Note** :  $\varnothing$ .  $L = L \varnothing = \varnothing$ 

#### Powers :

\n- $$
L^0 = \{ \epsilon \}
$$
\n- $L^k = L \cdot L^{k-1}$ , for  $k \geq 1$
\n- **Kleene closure**:  $L^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty L^i$
\n

In mathematics operator '\*' is also known as the free monoid construction.

# Example

Let 
$$
L = \{0, 11\}
$$
. In order to construct  $L^*$  :  
\n•  $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}$   
\n•  $L^1 = L = \{0, 11\}$   
\n•  $L^2 = L.L^1 = L.L = \{00, 011, 110, 1111\}$   
\n•  $L^3 = L.L^2 =$ 

 $\{000, 0011, 0110, 01111, 1100, 11011, 11110, 111111\}$ 

Therefore

 $L^* = \{ \epsilon, 0, 11, 00, 011, 110, 1111, 000,$  $0011, 0110, 01111, 1100, 11011, 11110, 111111, \ldots \}$ 

## Example

#### Construct  $\varnothing^*$  :

 $\bullet \ \varnothing^0 = \{\epsilon\}$  $\bullet \varnothing^i = \varnothing$ , for every  $i \geq 1$ 

Therefore  $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}$ 

## Operations on languages

- **Note** : We have used the operator  $*$  on alphabets  $(\Sigma^*)$ ; we now use the same operator with languages  $(L^*)$
- What happens when  $\Sigma = L$ ?
	- e elements of  $\Sigma$  are symbols, while elements of L are strings
	- **•** the result is the same

# Inductive definition of regular expressions

A regular expression E over alphabet  $\Sigma$  and the generated language  $L(E)$  are recursively defined as follows

#### Base

- $\epsilon$  is a regular expression, and  $L(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$
- $\bullet$   $\varnothing$  is a regular expression, and  $L(\varnothing) = \varnothing$
- **If**  $a \in \Sigma$ , then **a** is a regular expression, and  $L(a) = \{a\}$ Note the bold typesetting to distinguish the regular expression from the associated alphabet symbol

# Inductive definition of regular expressions

#### Induction

- If E and F are regular expressions, then  $E + F$  is a regular expression, and  $L(E + F) = L(E) \cup L(F)$
- $\bullet$  If E and F are regular expressions, then EF is a regular expression, and  $L(EF) = L(E)L(F)$
- If  $E$  is a regular expressions, then  $E^*$  is a regular expression, and  $L(E^*)=(L(E))^*$

Note the overloading of the symbol '\*'

 $\bullet$  If E is a regular expressions, then  $(E)$  is a regular expression, and  $L((E)) = L(E)$ 

# Example

Specify a regular expression for

 $L = \{w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*, \text{ no occurrence of 00 or 11 in } w\}$ 

0 can only be followed by 1; 1 can only be followed by 0

Four cases, based on the choice of the start/end symbol

$$
(01)^* + (10)^* + 0(10)^* + 1(01)^*
$$

Equivalently, but in a more **compact** form

$$
(\epsilon+1)(01)^*(\epsilon+0)
$$

 $\epsilon$  used to make other symbols optional

## Operator's precedence

Precedence of operators (higher first)

- Kleene closure (˚ )
- concatenation (dot)
- $\bullet$  union  $(+)$

```
Example : 01^* + 1 means (0(1^*)) + 1
```
Use parentheses to force precedence

Structure of a regular expression

Each regular expression can be naturally associated with a tree structure representing its recursive definition

This will be used many times later, in proofs based on structural induction

To this end, we assume binary operators are **left associative** 

**Example :** 010 means  $((01)0)$ 

Structure of a regular expression

**Example** : The regular expression  $(\epsilon + 1)(01)^*(\epsilon + 0)$  can be associated with the following tree



## Test

Write regular expressions for the following languages

- strings over  $\{a, b\}$  starting with a and ending with bb
- strings over  $\{a, b\}$  with at least two occurrences of a
- strings over  $\{0, 1\}$  with 1 in the seventh to last position
- strings over  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  with zero or more 0's, or else (exclusive) with one or more 1's, or else (exclusive) with two or more 2's
- strings over  $\{a, b\}$  with at least one occurrence of a and at least one occurrence of b

### Test

Specify in words the languages generated by the following regular expressions, defined over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ 

$$
\bullet \ (0+1)^*11(0+1)^*
$$

$$
\bullet\ (1+\epsilon)(00^*1)0^*
$$

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>Equivalence of FA and regular expressions

We have already shown that DFA, NFA, and  $\epsilon$ -NFA are equivalent

To show that FA and regular expressions are equivalent, we will show that

 $\bullet$  for each DFA A there is a regular expression R such that  $L(R) = L(A)$ 

• for each regular expression R there is a  $\epsilon$ -NFA A such that  $L(A) = L(R)$ 



## From DFA to regular expression

**Theorem** If  $L = L(A)$  for some DFA A, then there exists a regular expression R such that  $L(R) = L$ 

#### Proof

We construct R from A using the **state elimination** technique

# Construction by state elimination

Based on the subsequent elimination of the states of the DFA, without altering the generated language

Initially

- **•** transitions on symbol a are relabeled with the equivalent regular expressions a
- $\bullet$  in some cases: if there is no transition between pair  $p, q$ , we create a new transition  $p \rightarrow q$  with label  $\varnothing$

 $p$  and  $q$  could be the same state

# Construction by state elimination

States  $q_1, \ldots, q_k$  are the **antecedents** of s and states  $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ are the  $\sf successors$  of  $s$ , assuming  $s \neq q_i, p_j;$  these two sets are not necessarily disjoint



#### Construction by state elimination

We can now eliminate state s



If antecedent or successor set is empty, we can eliminate s without adding arcs (R empty, Q or P empty)

Construction by state elimination

Construction of the regular expression :

- $\bullet$  for each final state q, we remove from the initial automaton all states except  $q_0$  and q, resulting in an automaton  $A_q$  with at most two states
- we convert each automaton  $A_{q}$  to a regular expression  $E_{q}$  and combine with the union operator

## Construction by state elimination

 $A_{q}$  can be in one of the two following forms :



corresponding to the regular expression  $E_q = (R + SU^*T)^*SU^*$ 



corresponding to the regular expression  $E_q = R^*$ 

The final regular expression is then

$$
\bigoplus_{q \in F} E_q
$$

# Example

The construction by state elimination works for every type of FA. Consider NFA M



recognizing the language

 $L(M) = \{w \mid w = x1b \text{ or } w = x1bc, x \in \{0,1\}^*, b, c \in \{0,1\}\}\$ 

Construct from M a regular expression generating  $L(M)$ 

## Example



We transform  $M$  into an automaton with equivalent regular expressions at each transition



## Example



We eliminate state B



We have simplified the regular expression  $\mathbf{1}\varnothing^{\ast}(\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{1})$  as  $\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{1}),$ since  $L(\varnothing^*) = \{\epsilon\}$ 

## Example



We eliminate state C resulting in  $M_D$ 



corresponding to the regular expression  $E_D = (0+1)^*1(0+1)(0+1)$ 

# Example



We eliminate state D resulting in  $M_C$ 



corresponding to the regular expression  $E_{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1})^* \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{1})$ 

The desired regular expression is the sum of  $E_D$  and  $E_C$ :

$$
(0+1)^*1(0+1)(0+1)+(0+1)^*1(0+1)\\
$$

## Exercise

Write a regular expression for the language L over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that, for each string in  $L$ , the sum of its digits is an odd number

#### Suggestion

- start specifying a DFA that accepts L
- then construct the equivalent regular expression

From regular expression to  $\epsilon$ -NFA

**Theorem** For every regular expression  $R$  we can construct an  $\epsilon$ -NFA E such that  $L(E) = L(R)$ 

#### Proof

We construct F with

- only one final state
- no arc entering the initial state
- no arc exiting the final state

This will make it easier/safer to connect FAs

#### The construction uses structural induction

From regular expression to  $\epsilon$ -NFA

**Base** Automata for regular expressions  $\epsilon$ ,  $\emptyset$ , and a



#### From regular expression to  $\epsilon$ -NFA

**Induction** Automata for  $R + S$ , RS, e  $R^*$ 







l

# Example



<span id="page-34-0"></span>There are some similarities between regular expressions and arithmetic expressions, if we consider the union as the sum and concatenation as the product

As for arithmetic expressions, there are similar properties for regular expressions (commutativity, distributivity, etc.)

There exists also **specific** properties for regular expressions, mainly related to Kleene's closure operator, which do not correspond to any laws of arithmetic

In the following slides,  $L, M, N$  are regular expressions, not languages

Commutativity and associativity

Union is **commutative** :  $L + M = M + L$ 

Union is associative :  $(L + M) + N = L + (M + N)$ 

Concatenation is **associative**  $(LM)N = L(MN)$ 

Concatenation is **not commutative** there exist  $L$  and  $M$  such that  $LM \neq ML$ . Example :  $10 \neq 01$ 

Identity and annihilators

Very useful in simplifying regular expressions :

 $\emptyset$  is the **identity** for union:  $\emptyset + L = L + \emptyset = L$ 

 $\epsilon$  is the left identity and the right identity for concatenation :  $\epsilon l = l \epsilon = l$ 

 $\varnothing$  is the left annihilator and the right annihilator for concatenation :  $\emptyset L = L\emptyset = \emptyset$ 

Distributivity and idempotence

Concatenation is left distributive over union :  $L(M + N) = LM + LN$ 

Concatenation is right distributive over union :  $(M + N)L = ML + NL$ 

Union is *idempotent* :  $L + L = L$ 

Kleene closure & other operators

 $(L^*)^* = L^*$  (proof in later slides)  $\emptyset^* = \epsilon$  $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$  $L^{+} = LL^{*} = L^{*}L$  $L^* = L^+ + \epsilon$  $l? = \epsilon + L$ 

#### Exercise with solution

Prove that the regular expressions  $(R^*)^*$  and  $R^*$  are equivalent  $L(R^*) = (L(R))^*$  $L((R^*)^*) = (L(R^*))^* = ((L(R))^*)^*$ Assuming  $L(R) = L_R$ , we need to show  $(L_R^*)^* = L_R^*$ 

#### <span id="page-40-0"></span>Exercise with solution

$$
w \in (L_R^*)^* \iff w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} L_R^j \right)^i
$$
  

$$
\iff \exists k, m \in \mathbb{N} : w \in (L_R^m)^k
$$
  

$$
\iff \exists p \in \mathbb{N} : w \in L_R^p
$$
  

$$
\iff w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_R^i
$$
  

$$
\iff w \in L_R^*
$$

In the right to left direction, choose  $k = 1$  and  $m = p$