Probabilistic Model Checking

Alessandro Abate

Lecture 4, p1: Linear-Time Properties

consider non-blocking, finite TS

recall notions of TS path, of TS trace, of reachability sets (Paths(TS), Reach(TS), Traces(TS))

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

consider non-blocking, finite TS

- recall notions of TS path, of TS trace, of reachability sets (Paths(TS), Reach(TS), Traces(TS))
- linear-time properties specify traces that a TS should have (the admissible, desired behaviour of the TS)
- (LTL is a logical formalism to express linear-time properties)

Definition

A linear-time (LT) property over the AP set is a subset of $(2^{AP})^{\omega}$.

LT properties can then express requirements over TS traces, properties over all words of TS defined over AP

Definition

Consider a $TS = (S, \rightarrow, I, AP, L)$ and let P be an LT-property over AP. Then, $TS \models P$ iff $Traces(TS) \subseteq P$. State $s \in S$ satisfies P, namely $s \models P$, whenever $Traces(s) \subseteq P$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

LT properties can then express requirements over TS traces, properties over all words of TS defined over AP

Definition

Consider a $TS = (S, \rightarrow, I, AP, L)$ and let *P* be an LT-property over *AP*. Then, $TS \models P$ iff $Traces(TS) \subseteq P$. State $s \in S$ satisfies *P*, namely $s \models P$, whenever $Traces(s) \subseteq P$.

given a TS = (S, →, I, AP, L), an LT property P may depend on symbols in AP' ⊂ AP

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• given a path $\pi = s_0 s_1 \dots$ of TS, we consider $Traces_{AP'}(\pi) = (L(s_0) \cap AP')(L(s_1) \cap AP') \dots$

 \Rightarrow Traces_{AP'}(TS)

Linear-Time Properties: Example



consider traffic light system, and associated TS model
 recall characterisation of *Traces*(*TS*) over *AP* set

Linear-Time Properties: Example



- consider traffic light system, and associated TS model
 recall characterisation of *Traces(TS)* over *AP* set
- \triangleright P = "eventually, the green light is ON" does it hold?

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

Linear-Time Properties: Example



- consider traffic light system, and associated TS model
- recall characterisation of Traces(TS) over AP set
- P = "eventually, the green light is ON" does it hold?
- \triangleright P = "eventually, the red light is ON" does it hold?

• compare two models TS, TS' (with same AP) via their traces

compare two models TS, TS' (with same AP) via their traces

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

- ? trace equivalence: if they have the same traces, do they satisfy the same LT properties?
- ▶ if $TS \models P$, then $Traces(TS) \subseteq P$; since Traces(TS) = Traces(TS'), then $TS' \models P$

- compare two models TS, TS' (with same AP) via their traces
- ? trace equivalence: if they have the same traces, do they satisfy the same LT properties?
- if TS ⊨ P, then Traces(TS) ⊆ P; since Traces(TS) = Traces(TS'), then TS' ⊨ P
- Similarly, if TS ⊭ P, then there is a trace in TS that is prohibited by P; then, since Traces(TS) = Traces(TS'), TS' ⊭ P

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

- compare two models TS, TS' (with same AP) via their traces
- ? trace equivalence: if they have the same traces, do they satisfy the same LT properties?
- ▶ if $TS \models P$, then $Traces(TS) \subseteq P$; since Traces(TS) = Traces(TS'), then $TS' \models P$
- Similarly, if TS ⊭ P, then there is a trace in TS that is prohibited by P; then, since Traces(TS) = Traces(TS'), TS' ⊭ P
- trace inclusion: Traces(TS) ⊆ Traces(TS'), TS is a correct implementation (a refinement) of TS' (TS' is an abstraction of TS)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Definition TS and TS' are trace equivalent w.r.t. AP if

$$\mathit{Traces}_{\mathit{AP}}(\mathit{TS}) = \mathit{Traces}_{\mathit{AP}}(\mathit{TS}')$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Theorem $Traces(TS) = Traces(TS') \Leftrightarrow$ for any LT property P, $TS' \models P \Leftrightarrow TS \models P$ that is, iff TS and TS' satisfy the same set of LT properties

Theorem

 $Traces(TS) \subseteq Traces(TS') \Leftrightarrow$ for any LT property P, $TS' \models P \Rightarrow TS \models P$



▶ $Traces(TS) \subseteq Traces(TS')$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●

Linear-Time Properties: Invariants

a given condition holds always (over entire reach space)

Definition

An LT property P over AP is an invariant if there is a logical formula Φ over AP such that

$$P = \left\{ A_0 A_1 A_2 \ldots \in (2^{AP})^{\omega} \mid \forall j \ge 0, A_j \models \Phi \right\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

(Φ is called an invariant condition for P)

- $TS \models P$ iff $\forall \pi \in Paths(TS), Trace(\pi) \in P$
- ► $TS \models P$ iff $\forall \pi \in Paths(TS), \forall s \in \pi, L(s) \models \Phi$
- ► $TS \models P$ iff $\forall s \in Reach(TS), L(s) \models \Phi$

 \rightarrow checking invariant via reachability analysis

Linear-Time Properties: Invariants



P = "the traffic light is never simultaneously green and red"

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• $\Phi = \neg red \lor \neg green$, so that $P = \neg \Diamond (red \land green) = \Box (\neg red \lor \neg green)$ • $TS \models P$

nothing bad ever happens

Definition

LT property P is a safety property if, for all words $\sigma \in (2^{AP})^{\omega} \setminus P$, there exists a finite prefix $\hat{\sigma}$ s.t.

$$P \cap \left\{ \sigma' \in (2^{AP})^{\omega} \mid \hat{\sigma} ext{ is a finite prefix of } \sigma'
ight\} = \emptyset$$

 $\hat{\sigma}$ is a bad prefix of P

- minimal bad prefix; set of bad prefixes BadPref(P)
- any invariant is a safety property
- however, not the opposite (logical formulae can only express state properties)



P = "a green light is always preceded by an amber one"

- P is a safety property
- however, P is not an invariant
- (in this instance $TS \models P$)



- P = "a green light is always preceded by an amber one"
- P is a safety property
- however, P is not an invariant
- (in this instance $TS \models P$)
- can you find an LT property that is not a safety one?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Theorem Consider TS and safety property P; $TS \models P \Leftrightarrow Traces_{fin}(TS) \cap BadPref(P) = \emptyset$ (safety properties are requirements over finite traces)

Theorem $Traces_{fin}(TS) \subseteq Traces_{fin}(TS') \Leftrightarrow$ for any safety property $P, TS' \models P \Rightarrow TS \models P$

Theorem

 $Traces_{fin}(TS) = Traces_{fin}(TS') \Leftrightarrow$ for any safety property P, $TS' \models P \Leftrightarrow TS \models P$ that is, TS and TS' satisfy the same safety properties Linear-Time Properties: Safety (alternative definition)

$$closure(P) = \{ \sigma \in (2^{AP})^{\omega} \mid pref(\sigma) \subseteq pref(P) \}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Definition

Let P be an LT property over AP. Then P is a safety property iff closure(P) = P

Linear-Time Properties: Liveness

- something good eventually happens
- property does rules not out any finite prefix, namely finite traces cannot elucidate property, i.e. any finite prefix can be extended to satisfy property

Definition

LT property *P* over *AP* is a liveness property whenever $pref(P) = (2^{AP})^*$

eventually; repeated eventually (infinitely often)

duality of safety and liveness, or is there an LT property that is both safe and live?

Linear-Time Properties: Fairness

- used to exclude possible infinite behaviours
- employed to characterise liveness properties
- usually established fairness constraints
 - 1. *unconditional fairness:* "every transition is infinitely often taken"
 - 2. *strong fairness:* "if a transition is infinitely often enabled, then it is infinitely often taken"
 - 3. *weak fairness:* "if a transition is continuously enabled from a certain point in time, then it is infinitely often taken"

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Today's reading material



Christel Baier and Joost-Pieter Katoen, Principles of Model Checking. The MIT Press. Cambridge, MA, USA. 2008.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ