Superconductive Materials

Part 6 Josephson Effect

Consequences of BCS: energy gap measures



Ivar Giaever at General Electric Laboratories in the US made the **first superconducting tunnel junction in 1960**

In 1961 Giaever pointed out the possibility of determining the energy gap by means of tunneling experiments

Dr. Ivar Giaever, 44, works in his laboratory at General Electric Company here after learning (October 23, 1973), that he shared the 1973 Nobel Prize for Physics with Dr. Leo Esaki, 48, of International Business Machines Company of Yorktown Heights, New York, and Dr. Brian D. Josephson, 33, of Cambridge, England. Dr. Giaever has been with GE for 15 years. Born in Norway, he has lived in the U.S. for 20 years and became a citizen 10 years ago. He received the prize for his work in "marrying tunneling to superconductivity."



Brian Josephson

Brian Josephson was a doctoral student at

Cambridge University's Cavendish Laboratory in the early 1960s, working under the supervision of **Brian Pippard**

During the first year of his doctorate, he had taken some lectures from **Philip Anderson** who was at that time spending part of every year in Cambridge. **Anderson lectured on broken symmetry** as a central principle underlying solid state physics and Josephson was captivated by these ideas



Brian Josephson



What a prediction!

Josephson realized that though the phase of

the wavefunction inside a superconductor was fixed and uniform inside it, the phase of the wavefunction inside a second superconductor would also be fixed and uniform, but **would be fixed at a different value from the first**

If these two superconductors were brought in close proximity to one another (SIS junction) the **phase difference between them would have observable consequences**



Brian Josephson



Superconductive Materials

Not enough for a PhD

Josephson performed a calculation of the quantum-mechanical tunneling current between the two superconductors and found that a spontaneous net current would flow from one to the other which was directly related to the difference in the values of phase taken by the two superconductors

Pippard (Josephson's PhD supervisor) was not convinced that this remarkable prediction was of sufficient worth to win him his doctorate

Josephson therefore spent the second year of his doctorate trying to provide an experimental confirmation of his prediction, a task that neither suited his own skills nor the facilities of his laboratory.



Sir Alfred Brian Pippard



The Nobel Laureate Versus the Graduate Student

Bardeen publicly dismissed young Josephson's **tunneling-supercurrent assertion** in a "Note added in proof" to a 1962 article in *Physical Review Letters*:

"In a recent note, Josephson uses a somewhat similar formulation to discuss the possibility of superfluid flow across the tunneling region, in which no quasi-particles are created. However, as pointed out by the author [Bardeen, in a previous publication], pairing does not extend into the barrier, so that there can be no such superfluid flow"



The Nobel Laureate Versus the Graduate Student

John Bardeen, the leading condensed matter theorist of his day, was quite wrong when he dismissed a startling prediction by the unknown Brian Josephson.

https://physicstoday.scitation.org/doi/full/10.1063/1.1397394



Experimental demonstration

It was not a trivial matter to construct what is now known as a Josephson junction, **two** superconductors connected through a weak **link**, and far more difficult than a conventional tunnel junction such as was made by Giaever, which has a more insulating barrier. **Philip Anderson**, who had been closely involved with the development of Josephson's thinking, and who had agreed to give Josephson a year to produce experimental justification before competing with him, eventually constructed a working Josephson junction himself at Bell Labs in collaboration with John Rowell in 1963



Philip Anderson



A good reward

Josephson received his PhD, the 1973 Nobel

Prize (shared with **Ivar Giaever and Leo Esaki**), and a chair at Cambridge, all fitting rewards for a brilliant piece of insight which has had far-reaching consequences. He has spent most of the rest of his career devoting himself to his 'mind-matter unification project' which aims to find a physical basis for extrasensory perception, telepathy, and various other paranormal phenomena. It is perhaps unsurprising that his activities in this area have not won him the universal admiration of his scientific colleagues

> *S. Blundell Superconductivity, a very short introduction*



Brian Josephson's Sketch of Science



Superconductive Materials



Cristian Pira



Nobel for Superconductivity



1962 Landau

1972 Bardeen, Cooper, Schrieffer

1973 Josephson, Esaki, Giaever





1987 Bednorz and Muller

2003 Abrikosov, Ginzburg, Leggett

2016 Thouless, Haldane, Kosterlitz







Superconductive Materials

1913 Kamerlingh Onnes

Tunnel effect (unpaired electrons)



The number of particles passing across the barrier depends on the following 3 quantities:

- 1. n. of electrons reaching the barrier
- 2. tunneling probability across the barrier
- 3. n. of unoccupied E levels on the other side

Fig. 3.11 (a) The arrangement for measuring a tunneling current. (b) The allowed energy values (black areas) and their occupation (gray shaded areas).



Tunnel effect between NC metals



 $\boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{0}$

 $\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{U}_1$

 $U = U_2 > U_1$



Tunnel effect between NC and SC metals



 $U = 0 \qquad \qquad U = U_1 \qquad \qquad U = U_2 > U_1$



Superconductive Materials

Tunnel effect between NC and SC metals



Fig. 3.14 Current-voltage characteristic of tunnel junctions: curve 1, normal conductor/normal conductor (Fig. 3.12); curve 2, normal conductor/superconductor, T = 0 K (Fig. 3.13); curve 3, normal conductor/superconductor, $0 < T < T_c$.



10

2

Tunnel effect between 2 SC





Tunnel effect between 2 SC





Cristian Pira

Josephson currents

In a **junction** between **2** SC separated by a thin (2-10 Å) insulator (weak coupling) a current appear, as predicted by Josephson

Due to the tunneling electrons or Cooper pairs, the two superconductors are coupled to each other, and a weak supercurrent (the Josephson current) can flow across the barrier at U=0

 $I_{S} = I_{0} sin \Delta \varphi \qquad 1^{st} \text{ Josephson equation}$ $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \frac{2e}{\hbar} U \qquad 2^{nd} \text{ Josephson equation}$









18

Josephson DC currents



Phase difference $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ of the macroscopic wave function of the two superconductors

Critical current of the J-J \neq Critical Current of SC

 $I_{\rm S} = I_0 \sin(\Delta \varphi)$

Typical I₀ ~ $10^{-3} - 10^{-6} \text{ A}$ I_0 / contact area = J_0 J-J ~ $10^2 - 10^4$ A/m² $J_c SC \sim 10^9 - 10^{11} \text{ A/m}^2$

If the voltage **U**⁰ across the junction **is zero** there is a **dc Cooper-pair current** which can assume any value in the range:

$$-I_0 < I < I_0$$

Josephson AC currents

 $I_S = I_0 sin(\Delta \varphi)$



Increasing the voltage of the power supply eventually leads to a non-vanishing voltage across the junction and then a new phenomenon arises besides a dc current which however is now carried by single electrons there is an alternating Cooper-pair current

 $I(t) = I_0 sin(\omega t)$





Typical I-V behavior in J-J





Cristian Pira

Superconductive Materials

Weakly coupling



Fig. 2.30 Three different configurations of the J-J, **a** a "crossed film Junction", **b** a "weak link" or a "microbridge", **c** a "point contact" [22] (With permission of AIP)



Fig. 1.21 Schematics of the different possibilities for producing a weak coupling between two superconductors: (a) SIS junction with an oxide layer as a barrier; (b) SNS junction with a normal conducting barrier; (c) point contact; (d) microbridge; (e) YBa₂Cu₃O₇ grain boundary junction; (f) intrinsic Josephson junction in Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈.



Cristian Pira

Superconductive Materials

Derivation of Josephson Equations

A possible derivation of the two Josephson equation comes from Feynman

One considers **two weakly coupled quantum mechanical systems** and **solves the Schrodinger equation** for this problem by means of an approximation

The magnetic field is neglected at this stage

The two separate systems will be described by the 2 wave functions ψ_1 and ψ_2



Derivation of Josephson Equations (2)



If there is weak coupling between the systems, the temporal change of ψ_1 will also be affected by ψ_2 and vice versa





Cristian Pira

Derivation of Josephson Equations (3)





Derivation of Josephson Equations (4)



In the simple case in which S1=S2 \rightarrow n₁=n₂

$$\dot{n_1} = \frac{2K}{\hbar} n_1 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) = -\dot{n_2}$$



Derivation of Josephson Equations (5)



$$\dot{n_1} = \frac{2K}{\hbar} n_1 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) = -\dot{n_2}$$

Now we can calculate the current that cross the junction

$$I(t) = \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} V q$$

$$I = \dot{n_1} V2e \quad i = \frac{2K \cdot 2e}{\hbar} Vn_s \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \quad i = I_0 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$$
$$I_0 = \frac{2K \cdot 2e}{\hbar} Vn_s$$



Derivation of Josephson Equations (6)



$$\dot{n_1} = \frac{2\kappa}{\hbar} n_1 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) = -\dot{n_2} \qquad I(t) = I_0 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \qquad I_0 = \frac{2\kappa + 2\epsilon}{\hbar} V n_s$$

Derivation of $(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$ $\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow}$ $\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) = -\frac{1}{\hbar}(E_2 - E_1)$

The Cooper-pair energies E_1 and E_2 differ by the energy gained upon crossing the voltage U $E_2 = E_1 - 2eU$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) = -\frac{1}{\hbar}(E_2 - E_1) = \frac{2eU}{\hbar} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) = \frac{2eU}{\hbar} \cdot t + \varphi_0$$



Derivation of Josephson Equations (7)

$$I(t) = I_0 \sin(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$$

$$I_0 = \frac{2K \cdot 2e}{\hbar} V n_s$$

$$\varphi_2(t) - \varphi_1(t) = \frac{2eU}{\hbar} \cdot t + \varphi_0$$

$$I(t) = I_0 \sin\left(\frac{2eV}{\hbar}t + \varphi_0\right)$$

$$I(t) = I_0 \sin\left(\frac{2eV}{\hbar}t + \varphi_0\right)$$





8.

SQUID

The superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) consists of

two superconductors separated by thin insulating layers to

form two parallel Josephson junctions.

The device may be configured as a magnetometer to detect

incredibly small magnetic fields.

Small enough to measure the magnetic fields in living organisms. Squids have been used to measure the magnetic fields in mouse brains to test whether there might be enough magnetism to attribute their navigational ability to an internal compass.



Generation of spatial interferences of the superconducting wave function in a ring structure



Electromagnetic field phase change

In quantum mechanics in presence of an electromagnetic field:

 $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} + q\mathbf{A}$ Canonical

Canonical momentum

Travelling along x (Δx) a phase variation ($\Delta \phi$) occurs

$$\Delta \varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \Delta x$$

In an electromagnetic field there is an additional phase change

$$\Delta \varphi' = -\frac{q}{\hbar} A \Delta x$$
 Aharonov-Bohm effect



Schematic arrangement for observing the phase shift due to a vector potential

$$\delta \varphi = \delta \varphi_0 + \frac{q}{\hbar} \oint A \cdot ds = \delta \varphi_0 + \frac{q}{\hbar} \Phi_{mag}$$



SQUID

$$I = 2I_0 \sin \delta \cos \left(\pi \frac{2e}{\hbar} \Phi_{mag} \right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I = 2I_0 \sin \delta \cos \left(\pi \frac{\Phi_{mag}}{\Phi_0} \right)$$

$$I_{s,max} = 2I_0 \sin \left| \cos \left(\pi \frac{\Phi_{mag}}{\Phi_0} \right) \right|$$

The quantity *I*_{s,max} reaches a maximum if the flux corresponds to an integer multiple of a flux quantum



Fig. 2.32 A typical Josephson current versus magnetic field pattern in a dc SQUID

Fig. 2.31 A dc SQUID with two Josephson-junctions (J-J1 and J-J2) mounted on a superconducting ring (top) and the SQUID voltage oscillations with flux (bottom). One can measure a fraction of the flux quanta accurately in terms of voltage [23] (Courtesy Ian Worpole/ Scientific American)





Superconductive Materials

SQUID

The **effect** is **similar** to the one observed in **optics** when a coherent light beam from a **laser** source passes through two **parallel slits** and **interfere** with each other to produce dark and bright **fringes**



Fig. 2.32 A typical Josephson current versus magnetic field pattern in a dc SQUID

SQUIDs can detect and measure a fraction of a flux quantum digitally and accurately

SQUIDs can resolve changes of the magnetic flux down to about $10^{-6} \Phi_0$

Threshold for SQUID: $10^{-15} T$ Magnetic field of earth: $20-70 \cdot 10^{-6} T$ Magnetic field of heart: $1-10 \cdot 10^{-11} T$ Magnetic field of brain: $1-100 \cdot 10^{-14} T$



Magnetoencephalography (MEG)

MEG = functional neuroimaging technique for mapping brain activity

Neurons are able to generate action potentials (voltage pulses)

Neuro-surgeons can pin point the source of epileptic seizure and can study real time brain activity. A combination of MEG and MRI can enable a surgeon to have detailed brain map and remove only the damaged tissues





Magnetic shielded room necessary (2 layers of aluminium + 2 layers of mu-metal)







Bibliography of this part

- W. Buckel, R. Kleiner, "<u>Superconductivity Fundamentals and Applications</u>", Wiley
 1.1.5 Josephson Currents
 3.1.3.2 The Energy Gap → Tuneling experiments
- Peter Schmuser, Superconductivity

CERN Accelerator School on SC and Cryogenics for Accelerators and Detectors (2004) <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/503603</u>

