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## Turing machines


(1) Turing machine (TM) : formal model of computer algorithms that allows the mathematical study of computability
(2) Programming techniques for TM : techniques to facilitate the writing of programs for TM
(3) TM Extensions: machines that are more complex than TM but with the same computational capacity

4 TM with restrictions: automata that are simpler than TM but with the same computational capacity

## Turing machine

In order to mathematically study undecidabilty we need a simple formalism to represent programs (Python is not suitable)

Historically used formalisms:

- predicate calculus (Gödel, 1931)
- partial recursive functions (Kleene, 1936)
- lambda calculus (Church, 1936)
- Turing machine (Turing, 1936)


## Turing machine

A Turing machine is a finite state automaton with the addition of a memory tape with

- sequential access
- unlimited capacity in both tape directions

Differently from the PDA model, input string is initially placed into the auxiliary memory

The Turing machine model allows the study of computability properties such as undecidabilty and intractability

## Turing machine



Informally, a Turing machine performs a move according to its state and the symbol which is read by the tape head

In a single move, a Turing machine

- changes its state
- writes a new symbol in the cell read by the tape head
- moves the tape head to the cell to the right or to the left


## Turing machine

A Turing machine, MT for short, is a 7-tuple

$$
M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, B, F\right)
$$

where

- $Q$ is a finite set of states
- $\Sigma$ is a finite set of input symbols
- $\Gamma$ is a finite set of tape symbols, with $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
- $\delta$ is a transition function from $Q \times \Gamma$ to $Q \times \Gamma \times\{L, R\}$
- $q_{0}$ is the initial state
- $B \in \Gamma$ is the blank symbol, with $B \notin \Sigma$
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states

Note that the automaton is deterministic, and it has no 'stand' move

## Instantaneous descriptions

A TM changes its configuration with each move. We use the notion of instantaneous description (ID) to describe configurations An instantaneous description (ID) of $M$ is a string of the form

$$
X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{i-1} q X_{i} X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n}
$$

where

- $q$ is M's state
- $X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{n}$ is the "visited" portion of M's tape
- the tape head of $M$ is reading the $i$-th tape symbol


## Computation of a TM

To represent a computation step of $M$ we use the binary relation ${ }_{M}$ defined on the set of IDs

If $\delta\left(q, X_{i}\right)=(p, Y, L)$, then

$$
X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{i-1} q X_{i} X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n} \vdash_{M} X_{1} X_{2} \cdots p X_{i-1} Y X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n}
$$

If $\delta\left(q, X_{i}\right)=(p, Y, R)$, then

$$
X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{i-1} q X_{i} X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n} \vdash_{M} X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{i-1} Y p X_{i+1} \cdots X_{n}
$$

Special cases if the tape head is at the two ends of the written tape

## Computation of a TM

To represent the computations of $M$, we use the reflexive and transitive closure of $\stackrel{F}{M}$, written $\stackrel{*}{{ }_{M}^{*}}$

For input string $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, the initial ID is $q_{0} w$
For a TM $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, B, F\right)$, an accepting computation has the form

$$
q_{0} w \stackrel{*}{\stackrel{*}{M}} \alpha p \beta
$$

with $p \in F$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^{*}$
We will come back to this definition after some examples

## Example

Let us specify a TM $M$ with $L(M)=\left\{0^{n} 1^{n} \mid n \geqslant 1\right\}$

$$
M=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\},\{0,1\},\{0,1, X, Y, B\}, \delta, q_{0}, B,\left\{q_{4}\right\}\right)
$$

The transition function $\delta$ is represented by the following table

|  | 0 | 1 | $X$ | $Y$ | $B$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow q_{0}$ | $\left(q_{1}, X, R\right)$ |  |  | $\left(q_{3}, Y, R\right)$ |  |
| $q_{1}$ | $\left(q_{1}, 0, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, Y, L\right)$ |  | $\left(q_{1}, Y, R\right)$ |  |
| $q_{2}$ | $\left(q_{2}, 0, L\right)$ |  | $\left(q_{0}, X, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, Y, L\right)$ |  |
| $q_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\left(q_{3}, Y, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{4}, B, R\right)$ |
| $\star q_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example

We can also represent $\delta$ by means of the following transition diagram


## Example

If input $w$ has the form $\mathbf{0}^{*} \mathbf{1}^{*}$, then at each ID the tape is of the form $\boldsymbol{X}^{*} \mathbf{0}^{*} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*} \mathbf{1}^{*}$
$M$ implements the following strategy

- in $q_{0}$ it replaces the leftmost 0 with $X$ and moves to $q_{1}$
- in $q_{1}$ it proceeds from left to right, goes over 0 and $Y$ looking for the leftmost 1, replaces it with $Y$ and moves to $q_{2}$
- in $q_{2}$ it proceeds from right to left, goes over $Y$ and 0 looking for the rightmost $X$, and moves back to $q_{0}$
- in $q_{0}$, if it finds one more 0 it resumes the above cycle, otherwise it moves to $q_{3}$
- in $q_{3}$ it overrides all of the $Y$ 's and accepts if there is no 1 Observe how input string is overwritten during the computation


## Example

Given the string input 0011, $M$ performs the following computation (sequence of ID)

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{0} 0011 & \vdash X q_{1} 011 \vdash X 0 q_{1} 11 \\
& \vdash X q_{2} 0 Y 1 \vdash q_{2} X 0 Y 1 \\
& \vdash X q_{0} 0 Y 1 \vdash X X q_{1} Y 1 \\
& \vdash X X Y q_{1} 1 \vdash X X q_{2} Y Y \\
& \vdash X q_{2} X Y Y \vdash X X q_{0} Y Y \\
& \vdash X X Y q_{3} Y \vdash X X Y Y q_{3} B \\
& \vdash X X Y Y B q_{4} B
\end{aligned}
$$

## TM with "output"

We have defined a TM as a recognition device. Alternatively, we can use these devices to compute functions on natural numbers. Historically, this was the original definition by A. Turing

We encode each natural number in unary notation according to the scheme

$$
n={ }_{1} 0^{n}
$$

## Example

The following TM $M$ computes the proper subtractor function

$$
m \doteq n=\max (m-n, 0)
$$

starting with $0^{m} 10^{n}$ on its tape and halting with $0^{m-n}$.
No set of final states for TMs with output

|  | 0 | 1 | $B$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow q_{0}$ | $\left(q_{1}, B, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{5}, B, R\right)$ |  |
| $q_{1}$ | $\left(q_{1}, 0, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, 1, R\right)$ |  |
| $q_{2}$ | $\left(q_{3}, 1, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{2}, 1, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{4}, B, L\right)$ |
| $q_{3}$ | $\left(q_{3}, 0, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{3}, 1, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{0}, B, R\right)$ |
| $q_{4}$ | $\left(q_{4}, 0, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{4}, B, L\right)$ | $\left(q_{6}, 0, R\right)$ |
| $q_{5}$ | $\left(q_{5}, B, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{5}, B, R\right)$ | $\left(q_{6}, B, R\right)$ |
| $\star q_{6}$ |  |  |  |

## Example

The transition diagram is
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## Example

The TM $M$ performs the following loop

- find the leftmost 0 and replace with $B$ (states $q_{0}, q_{3}$ )
- search right for the first 0 placed after symbols 1 , and replace it with 1 (states $q_{1}, q_{2}$ )

The loop ends in two possible ways

- $M$ cannot find a 0 to the right of the 1 's $(m>n)$; then $M$ turns all of the 1 's into a single 0 followed by $B$ 's
- $M$ cannot find a 0 to be replaced by $B(m \leqslant n)$; then $m \doteq n=0$ and $M$ replaces all 0 's and 1's into $B$


## Notation for TM

We use notational conventions similar to those of other automata

- $a, b, c, \ldots, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i}, \ldots$ input symbols
- $X, Y, Z$ tape symbols
- $u, w, x, y, z$ strings over the input alphabet
- $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$, strings over tape alphabet
- $p, q, r, \ldots, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{i}, \ldots$ states


## Exercise

The TM $M=\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\},\{0,1\},\{0,1, B\}, \delta, q_{0}, B,\left\{q_{2}\right\}\right)$ has the following transitions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(q_{0}, 0\right)=\left(q_{1}, 1, R\right) \\
& \delta\left(q_{1}, 1\right)=\left(q_{2}, 0, L\right) \\
& \delta\left(q_{2}, 1\right)=\left(q_{0}, 1, R\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Specify the computation (ID sequence) of $M$ for input 0100

## Exercise

Provide TMs for the following languages by specifying the transition diagram and by briefly explaining the adopted strategy

- $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{2 n} \mid n \geqslant 1\right\}$
- $L=\left\{w \in\{a, b, c\}^{*} \mid \#_{a}(w)=\#_{b}(w)=\#_{c}(w)\right\}$
- $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{2 k} a^{n} \mid b, k \geqslant 0\right\}$


## Language accepted by a TM

A TM $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0}, B, F\right)$ accepts the language

$$
L(M)=\left\{w \mid w \in \Sigma^{*}, q_{0} w \stackrel{H}{M}_{*}^{*} \alpha \beta, p \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^{*}\right\}
$$

The class of languages accepted by TMs is called recursively enumerable (RE)
This term derives from formalisms that historically preceded TM

## TM and halting

A TM halts if it enters a state $q$ with tape symbol $X$ and $\delta(q, X)$ is not defined (there is no next move)

If a TM accepts a string, we can assume that it always halts: just make $\delta(q, X)$ undefined for every final state $q$

If a TM does not accept, we can't assume that it will halt (in a non-final state)

The class of languages accepted by some TM that halts for every input are called recursive (REC)

## Recursive and recursively enumerable languages

Recursive language (REC) : the language is accepted by a TM that halts on each input string (in the language or not)

Recursively enumerable language (RE) : the language is accepted by a TM that halts when the string belongs to the language
For strings not in the language, the TM may compute forever
A decision problem $P$ is decidable if its encoding $L_{P}$ (see chapter 1) is a recursive language. Alternatively : if there is a TM $M$ that always halts such that $L(M)=L_{P}$

## Programming techniques for TM

Although the class of TM is very simple, this model has the same computational power as a modern computer

We will also see that a TM is able to perform processing on other TMs. This allows us to prove that certain problems are undecidable Compare with compilers, which take programs as input and produce new programs as output

We present in the following some notational variants of the TM that make TM programming easier

## Programming techniques for TM

We reformulate the TM definition using

- a finite number of registers with random access, which we place inside each state
- a finite number of tape tracks



## State as internal memory

Example: A TM $M$ that "memorizes" the first symbol read and verifies that this does not appear again in the input

$$
L(M)=L\left(01^{*}+10^{*}\right)
$$

Let $M=\left(Q,\{0,1\},\{0,1, B\}, \delta,\left[q_{0}, B\right], B,\left\{\left[q_{1}, B\right]\right\}\right)$, with $Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\} \times\{0,1, B\}$

|  | 0 | 1 | $B$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow\left[q_{0}, B\right]$ | $\left(\left[q_{1}, 0\right], 0, R\right)$ | $\left(\left[q_{1}, 1\right], 1, R\right)$ |  |
| $\left[q_{1}, 0\right]$ |  | $\left(\left[q_{1}, 0\right], 1, R\right)$ | $\left(\left[q_{1}, B\right], B, R\right)$ |
| $\left[q_{1}, 1\right]$ | $\left(\left[q_{1}, 1\right], 0, R\right)$ |  | $\left(\left[q_{1}, B\right], B, R\right)$ |
| $\star\left[q_{1}, B\right]$ |  |  |  |

## Tape with multiple tracks

Example: A TM for the language $L=\left\{w c w \mid w \in\{0,1\}^{*}\right\}$
We use a tape track for "marking" those input symbols that we have already tested

$$
M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta,\left[q_{1}, B\right],[B, B],\left\{\left[q_{0}, B\right]\right\}\right)
$$

where

- $Q=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{9}\right\} \times\{0,1, B\}$
- $\Sigma=\{[B, 0],[B, 1],[B, c]\}$
- $\Gamma=\{B, *\} \times\{0,1, c, B\}$

See the textbook for the specification of the transition function $\delta$

## Use of a subroutine

Example: A TM for the computation of the product function $0^{m} 10^{n} 1 \mapsto 0^{m \cdot n}$. We use a subroutine "Copy"


## Use of a subroutine

The subroutine "Copy" takes ID $0^{m-k} 1 q_{1} 0^{n} 10^{(k-1) n}$ to ID $0^{m-k} 1 q_{5} 0^{n} 10^{k n}$


## TM extensions

Let us now present some extensions of the TM definition
For each extension, we prove that the computational capacity is the same as the one of the classic definition of TM

## Multi-tape TM

We use a finite number of independent tapes for the computation, with the input on the first tape


## Multi-tape TM

In a single move the multi-tape TM performs the following actions

- state update, on the basis of read tape symbols
- for each tape :
- write a symbol in current cell
- move the tape head independently of the other heads ( $\mathrm{L}=$ left, $R=$ right, or $S=$ stay)

Note that the stay option is not available in a TM

## Multi-tape TM

Theorem A language accepted by a multi-tape TM $M$ is RE Proof (sketch) We can simulate $M$ using a TM $N$ with a multi-track tape


## Multi-tape TM

We use $2 k$ tracks to simulate $k$ tapes : even tracks used for tape content, odd tracks used for tape head position
$N$ visits all $k$ head positions to simulate a single move of $M$

- left to right pass : the number of visited tape heads and the content of the corresponding cells are stored into the state of $N$
- right to left pass : for each tape head of $M$, the corresponding action is simulated by $N$
$N$ updates its state in the same way as $M$


## Multi-tape TM

Theorem The TM $N$ in the proof of the previous theorem simulates the first $n$ moves of the TM $M$ with $k$ tapes in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$

Proof (sketch) After $n$ moves of $M$, tape head markers in $N$ have mutual distance not exceeding $2 n$

It follows that any one of the first $n$ moves of $M$ can be simulated by $N$ in a number of moves not exceeding $4 n+2 k$, which amounts to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ since $k$ is a constant

## Nondeterministic TM

In a nondeterministic Turing machine, NTM for short, the transition function $\delta$ is set-valued :

$$
\delta(q, X)=\left\{\left(q_{1}, Y_{1}, D_{1}\right),\left(q_{2}, Y_{2}, D_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(q_{k}, Y_{k}, D_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

At each step, the NTM chooses one of the triples as the next move
The NTM accepts an input $w$ if there exists a sequence of choices that leads from the initial ID for $w$ to an ID with an accepting state

## Nondeterministic TM

Theorem For each NTM $M_{N}$, there exists a (deterministic) TM $M_{D}$ such that $L\left(M_{N}\right)=L\left(M_{D}\right)$

Proof (skecth) We specify $M_{D}$ as a TM with two tapes


## Nondeterministic TM

A single ID in the queue (first) tape is marked as being processed
$M_{D}$ performs the following cycle

- copy the marked ID from the queue tape to the scratch (second) tape
- for each possible move of $M_{N}$, add a new ID at the end of queue tape
- move the marker in the queue tape to the next ID


## Nondeterministic TM

Let $m$ be the maximum number of choices for $M_{N}$. After $n$ moves, $M_{N}$ reaches a number of ID bounded by

$$
1+m+m^{2}+\cdots+m^{n} \leqslant n m^{n}+1
$$

$M_{D}$ explores all the IDs reached by $M_{N}$ in $n$ steps before each ID reached in $n+1$ steps, as in a breadth first search

If there exists an accepting ID for $M_{N}$ on $w, M_{D}$ reaches this ID in a finite amount of time. Otherwise, $M_{D}$ does not accept, and may not halt

We therefore conclude that $L\left(M_{N}\right)=L\left(M_{D}\right)$

## Nondeterministic TM

Observe that the TM $M_{D}$ in the previous theorem can take an amount of time exponentially larger than $M_{N}$ to accept an input string

We do not know if this slowdown is necessary: this very important issue will be the subject of investigation in a next chapter

## TM with restrictions

We impose some restrictions on the definition of TM / multi-tape TM:

- tape is unlimited only in one direction
- two tapes used in stack mode

We prove that these models are equivalent to TM
Think about the above definitions as normal forms
These models are especially useful in some proofs that we will present later on

## TM with semi-infinite tape

In a TM with semi-infinite tape

- there are no cells to the left of the initial tape position
- a tape symbol can never be overwritten by the blank $B$

In a TM with semi-infinite tape each ID is a sequence of tape symbols other than $B$, i.e., there are no "holes"

## TM with semi-infinite tape

We can simulate a TM by means of a TM with semi-infinite tape with two tracks

- the upper track represents the initial position $X_{0}$ and all tape cells to its right
- the lower track represents all tape cells to the left of $X_{0}$, in reverse order
- a special symbol $*$ is used to mark the initial position

| $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*$ | $X_{-1}$ | $X_{-2}$ | $\cdots$ |

## TM with semi-infinite tape

Theorem Each language accepted by a TM $M_{2}$ is also accepted by a TM $M_{1}$ with semi-infinite tape

Proof (sketch) First, we modify $M_{2}$ in such a way that it uses a new tape symbol $B^{\prime}$ each time $B$ is used to overwrite a tape symbol

Let $M_{2}=\left(Q_{2}, \Sigma, \Gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}, q_{2}, B, F_{2}\right)$ be the modified TM. We define

$$
M_{1}=\left(Q_{1}, \Sigma \times\{B\}, \Gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}, q_{0},[B, B], F_{1}\right)
$$

## TM with semi-infinite tape

The states of $M_{1}$ are $Q_{1}=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\} \cup\left(Q_{2} \times\{U, L\}\right)$. Symbols $U, L$ indicate whether $M_{1}$ is visiting the upper or lower track

The input symbols of $M_{1}$ are pairs $[a, B]$ with $a$ an input symbol of $M_{2}$

The tape symbols $\Gamma_{1}$ of $M_{1}$ are pairs in $\Gamma_{2} \times \Gamma_{2}$ with the addition of pairs $[X, *]$ for each $X \in \Gamma_{2}$, where $*$ is used to mark the initial position of $M_{1}$ tape

The accepting symbols of $M_{1}$ are $F_{1}=F_{2} \times\{U, L\}$

## TM with semi-infinite tape

Transitions in $\delta_{1}$ implement the following moves

- place $*$ on the initial position, in the lower track, and restore the initial conditions of $M_{2}$
- when $M_{1}$ is not in the initial cell, the moves of $M_{2}$ are simulated with
- the same direction if $U$ appears in the state
- the reverse direction if $L$ appears in the state
- upon reading *
- if $M_{2}$ moves to the right, $M_{1}$ simulates the same move
- if $M_{2}$ moves to the left, $M_{1}$ simulates the same move but it reverses the direction


## TM with semi-infinite tape

It can be shown by induction on the number of steps of a computation that the IDs of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ match, modulo

- the reversal of the $L$ track of $M_{1}$
- its concatenation on the left with the $U$ track of $M_{1}$
- the elimination of the $*$ marker

It follows that $L\left(M_{1}\right)=L\left(M_{2}\right)$

## Multi-Stack machine

We apply to a multi-tape TM the restriction to use each tape in stack mode

- can only overwrite at the top
- can only insert at the top
- can only delete at the top

The resulting model accepts only recursively enumerable language, since it is a restriction of a multi tape TM

## Multi-Stack machine

Let $M$ be a multi-tape TM with tapes used in stack mode. We also assume that

- the input is provided in an external, read-only tape and with end-marker $\$$, and it can only be read from left to right
- $M$ can perform $\epsilon$-moves, but these moves must not be in conflict with each other or with other reading moves (determinism)


## Multi-Stack machine

$M$ is called a multi-stack machine, and can be viewed as a generalization of the deterministic PDA


## Multi-Stack machine

In a multi-stack machine with $k$ stacks, a transition rule has the form

$$
\delta\left(q, a, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=\left(p, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right)
$$

In words, when the machine is in state $q$ and reads input symbol $a \in \Sigma \cup\{\epsilon\}$, and with $X_{i}$ on top of the $i$-th stack, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, it moves to state $p$ and replaces each $X_{i}$ with $\gamma_{i}$

## Multi-Stack machine

Theorem If a language $L$ is accepted by a TM, then $L$ is accepted by a multi-stack machine with two stacks

Proof (sketch) Let $L=L(M)$ for a TM $M$. We construct a machine $S$ with two stacks, having special symbols used as markers at the bottom of the stack

The basic idea is to

- simulate the tape to the left of the current position with the first stack
- simulate the tape starting from the current position and extending to the right with the second stack


## Multi-Stack machine

The transition rules of $S$ implement the following strategy

- copy the input $w \$$ into the first stack
- move the contents of the first stack into the second stack
- if $M$ overwrites $X$ with $Y$ and moves to the right, $S$ pushes $Y$ on the first stack and pops $X$ from the second stack
- if $M$ overwrites $X$ with $Y$ and moves to the left, $S$ pops the symbol $Z$ from the first stack and replaces $X$ with $Z Y$ in the second stack
- in addition, $S$ employs some special moves to handle the case where $M$ is located at the end points of the tape (one of the two stacks contains the bottom marker)
- $S$ accepts whenever $M$ accepts


## TM and computer

Theorem If a language $L$ is accepted by a modern computer, then
$L$ is accepted by a TM
Proof Omitted

