Knowledge Representation and Learning Final exam

Prof. Luciano Serafini

September 14, 2023

Exercise 1 (6 points) Suppose that you have an undirected graph G = (V, E) of n vertexes V and a set of edges E. Suppose that you have a grid of $m \times m$ places in which you can position the nodes. Formalize the problem of positioning the n nodes on the $m \times m$ grid so that the edges in E do not intersect. An example of a graph of 6 nodes displaced on a grid of 10×10 squares is given below:



PS: Vertices can be placed only in the center of each square. You can suppose that the coordinates of the center of the ij-square are (i, j). So the solution of be above examle place node 1 at (6,5), node 2 at (9,7), etc.

Solution The problem asks to place each vertex $v \in V$ is a place of the $n \times n$ grid. The decisions that we have to take to solve the problem is if the vertex v is placed in position x. Therefore, we introduce a propositional variable p_{vx} for every $v \in V$ and every $x \in [n] \times [n]$ (where [n] denotes the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$). the fact that the vertex v is placed in position x. We then add the following formulas that formulate the constraints on the problem:

 $\bigwedge_{v \in V} \sum_{x \in [n] \times [n]}^{''} p_{vx} = 1 \quad \text{Every vertex } v \text{ must be placed in one and only one position}$

The second constraint is that if we have two edges (v_1, w_1) and (v_2, w_2) in E then the vertexes v_i and w_i with i = 1, 2 cannot be places in position x_i and y_y respectively if the segment from x_1 to y_1 intersect the sequent from x_2 to y_2 . Let intersect (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) be an atom that is true if and only if the two segments $\overline{x_1y_1}$ and $\overline{x_2y_2}$ intersect. Then we add the following formula:

$$\bigwedge_{\substack{(v_1,w_1),(v_2,w_2)\in E\\ \text{intersect}((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2))}} \neg (p_{v_1x_1} \land p_{w_1y_1} \land p_{v_2x_2} \land p_{w_2y_2})$$

To detect if intersect $((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$ is true we can use any algorithm.

Exercise 2 (4 points) Show how the connectives \land , \lor , \neg and \leftrightarrow can be rewritten in terms of the connectives \rightarrow and \perp (\perp is the formula that is always false).

Solution We start by rewriting \neg , then we use the rewriting of \neg to rewrite \lor , then we use the rewriting of \neg and \lor to rewrite \land , and finally we use the rewriting of \land to rewrite \leftrightarrow .

$$\neg A \equiv A \to \bot$$

$$A \lor B \equiv \neg A \to B \equiv (A \to \bot) \to B$$

$$A \land B \equiv \neg (\neg A \lor \neg B) \equiv \neg (A \to \neg B) \equiv (A \to (B \to \bot)) \to \bot$$

$$A \leftrightarrow B \equiv A \to B \land B \to A \equiv ((A \to B) \to ((B \to A) \to \bot)) \to \bot$$

Exercise 3 (5 points) Solve the following mas-sat problem using either $B \mathscr{C} B$ or $Fu \mathscr{C}$ Malik algorithm

$$3: \{A, B\}$$
 $4: \{A, , C\}$
 $2: \{\neg A, \neg B\}$
 $2: \{\neg B, \neg C\}$
 $2: \{\neg A, \neg C\}$
 $\infty: \{\neg A, B\}$
 $\infty: \{\neg B, C\}$

Solution



The algorithm terminates returning $\mathcal{I} = \{\neg A, B, C\}$ with a cost of 2

Exercise 4 (4 points) Find the weights of the literals: A, $\neg A$, B, $\neg B$, C and $\neg C$ that produce the following weight function

A	B	C	$w(\mathcal{I})$
1	1	1	-1
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	0	0	-1
0	1	1	1
0	1	0	-1
0	0	1	-1
0	0	0	1

Solution One can notice that when there is an even (including 0) number of propositions which are true the weight of the model is +1 and when there is an odd number of propositions which are false the weight is -1. This behaviour can be obtained by associating the weight -1 to each positive and not associating any weight to the negative literals (or equivalently associating the weight +1).

Exercise 5 (4 points) Consider the following first order interpretation on the domain $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ with two unary predicates Y and G (yellow and green), a unary function symbol f (shown in blue) and a binary relation R (shown in red).



Check if the following formulas are true or false. If they are false explain why

- 1. $\forall x \exists y (R(x, y) \lor Y(f(x)))$
- 2. $\exists x(G(x) \land R(f(x), x) \land R(x, f(f(f(x))))))$
- 3. $\forall x \forall y (G(x) \land R(x, y) \to Y(y) \lor Y(f(y)))$
- 4. $\exists x (\forall y (Y(y) \rightarrow R(x, y)))$

Solution

- 1. $\forall x \exists y (R(x, y) \lor Y(f(x)))$ is false, indeed if $x \leftarrow 3$ there is no y such that R(3, y) is true, and Y(f(3)) = Y(4) is false.
- 2. $\exists x (G(x) \land R(f(x), x) \land R(x, f(f(f(x)))))$ is false since for all the three green items R(f(x), x) is always false;
- 3. $\forall x \forall y (G(x) \land R(x, y) \to Y(y) \lor Y(f(y)))$ is true since for all the pair x, y where x is green and R(x, y) is true (i.e., for ((4, 1), (4, 2), (5, 6)), where have that either y is yellow (Y(1) and Y(6)) or f(y) is yellow (Y(f(2)) = Y(3)).
- 4. $\exists x (\forall y (Y(y) \rightarrow R(x, y)))$ is false. The states that there is a x that is connected via R with all the yellow items. One can see from the picture that the model does not contains such an item.

Exercise 6 (4 points) Use resolution to prove that

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x,y) \land P(z,y) \to P(x,z)) \tag{1}$$

is a logical consequence of

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \land P(y, z) \to P(x, z)) \\ \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \to P(y, x))$$

Solution We first have to negate the consequence obtaining

$$\exists x \exists y \exists z (P(x,y) \land P(z,y) \land \neg P(x,z))$$

By Skolemization we obtain:

$$P(a,b) \wedge P(c,b) \wedge \neg P(c,a)$$

Therefore we have the following set of clauses

$$\{P(a, b)\} \\ \{P(c, b)\} \\ \{\neg P(a, c)\} \\ \{\neg P(x, y), \neg P(y, z), P(x, z)\} \\ \{\neg P(x, y), P(y, x), P(y, x)\}$$

We can now apply resolution and unification



Exercise 7 (4 points) Provide a direct mathematical expression for counting the models of

$$\forall x A(x) \lor \exists y B(y)$$

on a domain of n elements.

Solution We consider the two separate cases.

- 1. If $\forall x A(x)$ is true, we have that A must be interpreted in the entire domain, therefore there is only one possibility, and B can be interpreted in any subset of the domain, which amounts to 2^n possibilities.
- 2. If $\forall x A(x)$ is false, then A can be interpreted in any strict subset of [n] and therefore there are $2^n - 1$ possibilities, while B must be interpreted in a non empty subset of [n] and therefore there are $2^n - 1$ possibilities. The total possibilities are therefore $(2^n - 1)^2$.

Notice that the two cases are disjoint and cover all the possibilities. Therefore we can add the two results obtaining

$$(2^n - 1)^2 + 2^n = 2^{2n} - 2^n + 1$$