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Exercise 1 (6 points) Suppose that you have an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ of $n$ vertexes $V$ and a set of edges $E$. Suppose that you have a grid of $m \times m$ places in which you can position the nodes. Formalize the problem of positioning the n nodes on the $m \times m$ grid so that the edges in $E$ do not intersect. An example of a graph of 6 nodes displaced on a grid of $10 \times 10$ squares is given below:


PS: Vertices can be placed only in the center of each square. You can suppose that the coordinates of the center of the $i j$-square are $(i, j)$. So the solution of be above examle place node 1 at (6,5), node 2 at (9,7), etc.

Solution The problem asks to place each vertex $v \in V$ is a place of the $n \times n$ grid. The decisions that we have to take to solve the problem is if the vertex $v$ is placed in position $x$. Therefore, we introduce a propositional variable $p_{v x}$ for every $v \in V$ and every $x \in[n] \times[n]$ (where $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ ). the fact that the vertex $v$ is placed in position $x$. We then add the following formulas that formulate the constraints on the problem:

$$
\bigwedge_{v \in V} \sum_{x \in[n] \times[n]}^{n} p_{v x}=1 \quad \text { Every vertex } v \text { must be placed in one and only one position }
$$

The second constraint is that if we have two edges $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right)$ in $E$ then the vertexes $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ with $i=1,2$ cannot be places in position $x_{i}$ and $y_{y}$ respectively if the segment from $x_{1}$ to $y_{1}$ intersect the seqment from $x_{2}$ to $y_{2}$. Let intersect $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ be an atom that is true if and only if the two segments $\overline{x_{1} y_{1}}$ and $\overline{x_{2} y_{2}}$ intersect. Then we add the following formula:

$$
\bigwedge_{\substack{\left.\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)\left(, v_{2}, w_{2}\right) \in E \\ \text { intersect }\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)}} \neg\left(p_{v_{1} x_{1}} \wedge p_{w_{1} y_{1}} \wedge p_{v_{2} x_{2}} \wedge p_{w_{2} y_{2}}\right)
$$

To detect if intersect $\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)$ is true we can use any algorithm.
Exercise 2 (4 points) Show how the connectives $\wedge, \vee$, $\neg$ and $\leftrightarrow$ can be rewritten in terms of the connectives $\rightarrow$ and $\perp$ ( $\perp$ is the formula that is always false).

Solution We start by rewriting $\neg$, then we use the rewriting of $\neg$ to rewrite $\vee$, then we use the rewriting of $\neg$ and $\vee$ to rewrite $\wedge$, and finally we use the rewriting of $\wedge$ to rewrite $\leftrightarrow$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg A & \equiv A \rightarrow \perp \\
A \vee B & \equiv \neg A \rightarrow B \equiv(A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow B \\
A \wedge B & \equiv \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B) \equiv \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B) \equiv(A \rightarrow(B \rightarrow \perp)) \rightarrow \perp \\
A \leftrightarrow B & \equiv A \rightarrow B \wedge B \rightarrow A \equiv((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow((B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \perp)) \rightarrow \perp
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 3 (5 points) Solve the following mas-sat problem using either B $\mathcal{B} B$ or $F u$ \& Malik algorithm

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
3:\{A, B\} & 4:\{A,, C\} & 2:\{\neg A, \neg B\} \\
2:\{\neg B, \neg C\} & 2:\{\neg A, \neg C\} & \\
\infty:\{\neg A, B\} & \infty:\{\neg B, C\} &
\end{array}
$$

## Solution



The algorithm terminates returning $\mathcal{I}=\{\neg A, B, C\}$ with a cost of 2
Exercise 4 (4 points) Find the weights of the literals: $A, \neg A, B, \neg B, C$ and $\neg C$ that produce the following weight function

| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $w(\mathcal{I})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Solution One can notice that when there is an even (including 0 ) number of propositions which are true the weight of the model is +1 and when there is an odd number of propositions which are false the weight is -1 . This behaviour can be obtained by associating the weight -1 to each positive and not associating any weight to the negative literals (or equivalently associating the weight +1 ).

Exercise 5 (4 points) Consider the following first order interpretation on the domain $\{1, \ldots, 6\}$ with two unary predicates $Y$ and $G$ (yellow and green), a unary function symbol $f$ (shown in blue) and a binary relation $R$ (shown in red).


Check if the following formulas are true or false. If they are false explain why

1. $\forall x \exists y(R(x, y) \vee Y(f(x)))$
2. $\exists x(G(x) \wedge R(f(x), x) \wedge R(x, f(f(f(x)))))$
3. $\forall x \forall y(G(x) \wedge R(x, y) \rightarrow Y(y) \vee Y(f(y)))$
4. $\exists x(\forall y(Y(y) \rightarrow R(x, y)))$

## Solution

1. $\forall x \exists y(R(x, y) \vee Y(f(x)))$ is false, indeed if $x \leftarrow 3$ there is no $y$ such that $R(3, y)$ is true, and $Y(f(3))=Y(4)$ is false.
2. $\exists x(G(x) \wedge R(f(x), x) \wedge R(x, f(f(f(x)))))$ is false since for all the three green items $R(f(x), x)$ is always false;
3. $\forall x \forall y(G(x) \wedge R(x, y) \rightarrow Y(y) \vee Y(f(y)))$ is true since for all the pair $x, y$ where $x$ is green and $R(x, y)$ is true (i.e., for $((4,1),(4,2),(5,6))$, where have that either $y$ is yellow $(Y(1)$ and $Y(6))$ or $f(y)$ is yellow $(Y(f(2))=Y(3))$.
4. $\exists x(\forall y(Y(y) \rightarrow R(x, y)))$ is false. The states that there is a $x$ that is connected via $R$ with all the yellow items. One can see from the picture that the model does not contains such an item.

Exercise 6 (4 points) Use resolution to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \forall y \forall z(P(x, y) \wedge P(z, y) \rightarrow P(x, z)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a logical consequence of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x \forall y \forall z(P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) & \rightarrow P(x, z)) \\
\forall x \forall y(P(x, y) & \rightarrow P(y, x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution We first have to negate the consequence obtaining

$$
\exists x \exists y \exists z(P(x, y) \wedge P(z, y) \wedge \neg P(x, z))
$$

By Skolemization we obtain:

$$
P(a, b) \wedge P(c, b) \wedge \neg P(c, a)
$$

Therefore we have the following set of clauses

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{P(a, b)\} \\
\{P(c, b)\} \\
\{\neg P(a, c)\} \\
\{\neg P(x, y), \neg P(y, z), P(x, z)\} \\
\{\neg P(x, y), P(y, x)\}
\end{array}
$$

We can now apply resolution and unification


Exercise 7 (4 points) Provide a direct mathematical expression for counting the models of

$$
\forall x A(x) \vee \exists y B(y)
$$

on a domain of $n$ elements.

Solution We consider the two separate cases.

1. If $\forall x A(x)$ is true, we have that $A$ must be interpreted in the entire domain, therefore there is only one possibility, and $B$ can be interpreted in any subset of the domain, which amounts to $2^{n}$ possibilities.
2. If $\forall x A(x)$ is false, then $A$ can be interpreted in any strict subset of $[n]$ and therefore there are $2^{n}-1$ possibilities, while $B$ must be interpreted in a non empty subset of $[n]$ and therefore there are $2^{n}-1$ possibilities. The total possibilities are therefore $\left(2^{n}-1\right)^{2}$.

Notice that the two cases are disjoint and cover all the possibilities. Therefore we can add the two results obtaining

$$
\left(2^{n}-1\right)^{2}+2^{n}=2^{2 n}-2^{n}+1
$$

