

THE NONCONVEX MULTI-DIMENSIONAL RIEMANN PROBLEM FOR HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS*

MARTINO BARDI† AND STANLEY OSHER‡

Abstract. Simple inequalities are presented for the viscosity solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation in N space dimension when neither the initial data nor the Hamiltonian need be convex (or concave). The initial data are uniformly Lipschitz and can be written as the sum of a convex function in a group of variables and a concave function in the remaining variables, therefore including the nonconvex Riemann problem. The inequalities become equalities wherever a “maxmin” equals a “minmax” and thus a representation formula for this problem is then obtained, generalizing the classical Hopf’s formulas.

Key words. Hamilton-Jacobi equations, viscosity solutions, Riemann problem, Godunov’s scheme, Hopf’s representation formulas

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 35L99, 35L65, 65M15, 65M10

1. Introduction. We are concerned with viscosity solutions (see Crandall and Lions [3], Crandall, Evans, and Lions [2], Lions [12]) to the following partial differential equation:

$$(H-J) \quad \varphi_t + H(D_x \varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

satisfying the initial data

$$(IC) \quad \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $H \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $D_x \varphi = (\varphi_{x_1}, \dots, \varphi_{x_N})$ is the spatial gradient of φ , and φ_0 is at least uniformly continuous. This Cauchy problem has, for any $T > 0$, a unique viscosity solution $\varphi(x, t)$ in the space $UC_x(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])$ of the continuous functions which are uniformly continuous in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, see Ishii [10] or Crandall and Lions [5].

We are interested in giving explicit pointwise upper and lower bounds for the solution, providing in some cases a representation formula for φ , for some special initial data but without extra assumptions on the Hamiltonian H .

Some general representation formulas for viscosity solutions of Cauchy problems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations are due to Evans [6] and Evans and Souganidis [7]. However, they either involve an infinite number of max-min operations over \mathbb{R}^N [6], or a single max-min operation over infinite-dimensional sets of “controls” and “strategies” [7]. Two simpler formulas solving almost everywhere (H-J)(IC), one dual of the other, were derived by Hopf [9] for two special cases. The first one holds for convex Hamiltonians and general (Lipschitz) initial data, and it is well known in the theory of conservation laws in the case $N = 1$ (it is often called the Lax formula). It was shown to give the viscosity solution to the problem by Lions [12], Evans [6], Bardi and Evans [1], with different proofs and slightly different assumptions. The second

* Received by the editors January 30, 1989; accepted for publication February 6, 1990.

† Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Università di Padova, via Belzoni 7, 35131 Padova, Italy. The work of this author was partially supported by the Italian National Project “Equazioni di evoluzione e applicazioni fisicomatematiche.”

‡ Mathematics Department, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024. The work of this author was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS88-11863, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency grant in the ACMP Program, Office of Naval Research grant N00014-86-K-0691, and NASA Langley grant NAG1-270.

Hopf’s formula is valid for general Hamiltonians and convex or concave (Lipschitz) initial data φ_0 , and it is

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(x, t) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{x \cdot v - \varphi_0^*(v) - tH(v)\}$$

for φ_0 convex, and

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(x, t) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{x \cdot v - \varphi_0^*(-v) - tH(v)\}$$

for φ_0 concave, where φ_0^* is the Legendre transform (or Fenchel conjugate) of φ_0 , that is

$$\varphi_0^*(v) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{x \cdot v - \varphi_0(x)\} \leq +\infty$$

for φ_0 convex, while for φ_0 concave it is

$$\varphi_0^*(v) := -(-\varphi_0)^*(v) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{-x \cdot v - \varphi_0(x)\} \geq -\infty.$$

Osher [14] rederived for the viscosity solution of (H-J) the special case of formula (1.1) occurring when the initial data are of Riemann type (and convex), i.e., they are piecewise affine with one jump in the derivative across a plane. Bardi and Evans [1] showed the connection between Osher’s formulas for convex Riemann data and Hopf’s formulas, and proved that (1.1) and (1.2) give the viscosity solution of (H-J)(IC) in the general case. Lions and Rochet [13] gave a different proof under slightly more general assumptions.

We are now going to describe our main result. Let j be an integer, $0 \leq j \leq N$, and for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ set

$$v = (v_A, v_B), \quad v_A := (v_1, \dots, v_j) \in \mathbb{R}^j, \quad v_B := (v_{j+1}, \dots, v_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}.$$

THEOREM 1. *Assume $H \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi_1: \mathbb{R}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ uniformly Lipschitz and convex, $\varphi_2: \mathbb{R}^{N-j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ uniformly Lipschitz and concave. Then the unique viscosity solution $\varphi \in UC_x(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])$ of (H-J) taking on the initial data*

$$\varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x_A) + \varphi_2(x_B)$$

satisfies for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \geq 0$

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{v_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} G(v, x, t) \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} \sup_{v_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} G(v, x, t),$$

where

$$G(v, x, t) := x \cdot v - \varphi_1^*(v_A) - \varphi_2^*(-v_B) - tH(v).$$

Note that the pointwise estimate (1.3) gives a representation formula for the solution whenever the first and last terms are equal (as they are for $t = 0$). A trivial case where this occurs is for $j = N$ or $j = 0$, because (1.3) reduces to Hopf’s formulas (1.1) or (1.2). A more interesting case occurs when the Hamiltonian separates the variables v_A and v_B , that is,

$$H(v) = H_1(v_A) + H_2(v_B).$$

In this case we get

$$\varphi(x, t) = \sup_{v_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} \{x_A \cdot v_A - \varphi_1^*(v_A) - tH_1(v_A)\} + \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} \{x_B \cdot v_B - \varphi_2^*(-v_B) - tH_2(v_B)\},$$

Downloaded 01/01/13 to 150.135.135.70. Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see http://www.siam.org/journals/ojsa.php

which is the superposition of the solutions to the problems

$$\varphi_i + H_i(D_x \varphi) = 0, \quad \varphi(\cdot, 0) = \varphi_i$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Next we specialize formula (1.3) to a particular class of (Riemann) initial data. Let A, u_i^+, u_i^- be constants and define

$$u_i(x) := \begin{cases} u_i^+ & \text{if } x_i > 0, \\ u_i^- & \text{if } x_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then take

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_0(x) = A + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i u_i(x) = A + x \cdot u(x).$$

These data correspond to a Riemann problem for the system of conservation laws satisfied (formally) by the spatial gradient of φ ; see Remark 2.2. Let, for $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\Omega_i := \{s \mid \min(u_i^+, u_i^-) \leq s \leq \max(u_i^+, u_i^-)\},$$

$$\chi_i := \text{sign}(u_i^+ - u_i^-),$$

and reorder the indices, without loss of generality, so that

$$(1.5) \quad \chi_i = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, j; \quad \chi_i = -1 \quad \text{for } i = j+1, \dots, N$$

($0 \leq j \leq N$). Finally set

$$\Omega_A := \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_j; \quad \Omega_B := \Omega_{j+1} \times \dots \times \Omega_N; \quad \Omega := \Omega_A \times \Omega_B.$$

COROLLARY 2. *The viscosity solution to (H-J)(IC) with the initial data given by (1.4) under the convention (1.5) satisfies*

$$(1.6) \quad A + \max_{v_A \in \Omega_A} \min_{v_B \in \Omega_B} \{x \cdot v - tH(v)\} \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq A + \min_{v_B \in \Omega_B} \max_{v_A \in \Omega_A} \{x \cdot v - tH(v)\}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In § 2, as motivation, we show how formula (1.6) was first (formally) derived in connection with numerical approximation schemes for Hamilton–Jacobi equations and for conservation laws. In § 3 we give the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 2, which are quite different from the previous derivation, and rather simple, in that they make use only of Hopf’s formulas (1.1), (1.2) and a comparison argument.

2. A derivation of (1.6) by means of Godunov’s Hamiltonians. The purpose of this section is to motivate Corollary 2 and to explain its connection with approximation schemes for (H-J). The rigorous proofs will be given in § 3. We assume that the solutions of (H-J) have the following properties:

- (P1) The solution $\varphi(x, t)$ is a nondecreasing function of the initial data.
- (P2) The partial derivatives φ_{x_i} satisfy a maximum principle at points of continuity, i.e., for $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$\min(u_i^-, u_i^+) \leq \varphi_{x_i} \leq \max(u_i^-, u_i^+).$$

- (P3) The speed of propagation is finite.
- (P4) If $\psi(x_2, \dots, x_N, t)$ is a viscosity solution of

$$\psi_t + H(v_1, \psi_{x_2}, \dots, \psi_{x_N}) = 0$$

for a constant v_1 then

$$\varphi(x, t) = v_1 x_1 + \psi(x_2, \dots, x_N, t)$$

is a viscosity solution to (H-J).

It is easy to see formally that the solution to the Cauchy problem (H-J)(IC), with initial data given by (1.4), satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi(x, t) = tg\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) + A = tg(\zeta) + A,$$

where g satisfies:

$$(2.2) \quad g = \zeta \cdot D_\zeta g - H(D_\zeta g)$$

whenever $D_\zeta g$ is continuous.

In (H-J), we let $\tau = t$, $y_i = x_i - \zeta_i t$ for ζ fixed. (H-J) becomes

$$(H-J^1) \quad \varphi_\tau + H(D_y \varphi) - \zeta D_y \varphi = \varphi_\tau + H^1(D_y \varphi) = 0 \quad (\text{defining } H^1(D_y \varphi))$$

with the same initial data (1.4).

Thus, by (2.2), to evaluate $g(\zeta)$ we need only evaluate $-H^1(D_y(g(y)))$ at $y=0$ for any $t > 0$. From (P2) above we know that $(D_y g)_{y=0}$ lies in Ω for $t > 0$. Moreover, if we integrate (H-J)¹ from $\tau=0$ to $\tau=\Delta t$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(0, \Delta t) &= A - \Delta t H^1((D_y g)_{y=0}) \\ &= \varphi_0(0) - \Delta t \tilde{H}^1(D_{\pm^1}^x \varphi_0(0), D_{\pm^1}^x \varphi_0(0); D_{\pm^2}^x \varphi_0(0), D_{\pm^2}^x \varphi_0(0); \dots \\ &\quad \dots; D_{\pm^N}^x \varphi_0(0), D_{\pm^N}^x \varphi_0(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(2.4) \quad D_{\pm^i}^x \varphi_0(0) = \pm \frac{(\varphi_0(\pm h e_i) - \varphi_0(0))}{h} = u_i^\pm$$

where $e_i = \{0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots\}$, the i th unit vector, and $\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-)$ is determined by (2.3).

This formula can be interpreted as a numerical algorithm. Suppose we are given a grid

$$x_{j_i}^i = j_i h, \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad j_i = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

and values of a discrete function $\psi_j = \psi_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_N}$. Then for each j , we construct the piecewise affine function which, in each of the 2^N orthants centered at j , interpolates ψ_j and its N nearest neighbors, $\psi_{j \pm e_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. From (P3), if

$$(2.5) \quad (\text{CFL}) \quad \frac{\Delta t}{h} \max_{\substack{v \in \Omega^{(j)} \\ i=1, \dots, N}} |H_{u_i}^1| \leq \frac{1}{N^{1/2}},$$

where $\Omega^{(j)}$ is the same as Ω with each u_i^-, u_i^+ replaced by $D_{\pm^i}^x \psi_j, D_{\pm^i}^x \psi_j$, then the solution to the initial value problem (H-J)¹ with the above affine initial data in the diamond centered at j when evaluated at $x = x_j$ and $t = \Delta t$ is independent of the values of the initial data outside of this diamond.

Thus (2.3) (with $\varphi_0(0)$ replaced by ψ_j^n and $\varphi(0, \Delta t)$ by ψ_j^{n+1}), gives us a monotone finite difference scheme approximating (H-J)¹ which is in differenced form with numerical Hamiltonian \tilde{H}^1 . These concepts were introduced in [4]. The scheme is monotone, which means that the right side of (2.3) is an increasing function of all the $\varphi_{j \pm e_i}$, because of property (P1). The function \tilde{H}^1 is called Godunov's Hamiltonian by analogy with the definition of Godunov's scheme for conservation laws in one space dimension [8]. The scheme is consistent, which means

$$\tilde{H}^1(u_1, u_1; u_2, u_2; \dots; u_N, u_N) = H^1(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N).$$

Monotonicity implies that

$$\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-)$$

is a nonincreasing function of all the u_i^+ and a nondecreasing function of all the u_i^- . In particular, for $N = 1$, this means for any $v_1 \in \Omega = \Omega_1$:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn}(u_1^+ - u_1^-)[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) - H^1(v_1)] &= \operatorname{sgn}(u_1^+ - v_1)[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, u_1^-)] \\ &\quad + \operatorname{sgn}(v_1 - u_1^-)[\tilde{H}^1(v_1, u_1^-) - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1)] \\ &\cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

But, by (P2), $\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) = H^1(\tilde{u}_1)$ for some \tilde{u}_1 in Ω . Thus we have

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) = \chi_1 \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \chi_1 H^1(v_1).$$

(This formula was obtained earlier in [14].) Now we proceed inductively. Suppose, for $N \cong M - 1$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\max_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \dots \max_{v_N \in \Omega_N} \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \dots \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) \\ &\cong \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-) \\ &\cong \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \dots \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} \max_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \dots \max_{v_N \in \Omega_N} H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i &= 1, & i &= 1, \dots, j, \\ \chi_i &= -1, & i &= j+1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Next we have, $N = M$ and for any $v_1 \in \Omega_1$:

$$(2.9) \quad \chi_1[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-)] \cong 0,$$

using the same argument as in (2.6).

Now, for any fixed v_1 , $\tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+, u_2^-, \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-)$ is Godunov's Hamiltonian when the initial data for $(H-J^1)$ has a constant x_1 derivative,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_1}(x) \equiv v_1.$$

Then it follows from (P4) that

$$g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = \frac{x_1}{t} v_1 + \tilde{g}\left(\frac{x_2}{t}, \frac{x_3}{t}, \dots, \frac{x_M}{t}\right)$$

(where \tilde{g} also depends on v_1).

By the induction hypothesis, this means we have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\chi_1 \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ &\cong \chi_1 \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ &= -\chi_1 \tilde{g}(0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\cong \chi_1 \chi_2 \min_{v_2 \in \Omega_2} \chi_2 \dots \chi_M \min_{v_M \in \Omega_M} \chi_M H^1(v_1, v_2 \dots v_M) \\ &= \chi_1 H^1(v_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_M) \end{aligned}$$

where the extrema is taken on at $\tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_M$, which depends on v_1 . The vector $(v_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_N) \in \Omega_1$, where $v_1 \in \Omega_1$ is arbitrary. We next take \min_{v_1} of the expression in (2.10). If all the $\chi_i \equiv 1$ or all the $\chi_i \equiv -1$ we have equality by (P2). Otherwise, $\chi_i \equiv 1, 1 \leq i \leq j, \chi_i \equiv -1, j+1 \leq i \leq M$, and we have the right-hand inequality in (2.8). Next we have, for any $v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}$, following the argument above:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\
 (2.11) \quad & \cong \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; \dots; v_{j+1}; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\
 & \cong \chi_{j+2} \min_{v_{j+2} \in \Omega_{j+2}} \chi_{j+2} \dots \chi_M \min_{v_M \in \Omega_M} \chi_M \chi_1 \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \chi_1 \dots \chi_j \\
 & \quad \cdot \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} \chi_j H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_M).
 \end{aligned}$$

We next take the $\max_{v_{j+1}}$ of the expression in (P4) which gives us the left-hand inequality in (2.8).

We have now obtained formula (2.8) for any N ; using (2.1) and (2.2) gives us our intuitive derivation of (1.6).

Remark 2.1. We note that (2.8) validates the conjecture about Godunov’s Hamiltonian in [15] when the inequalities in (2.10) and (2.11) become equalities. That paper also discusses the high-order accurate nonoscillatory numerical solution of (H-J) in some detail. See also [16] for a further discussion of these issues.

Remark 2.2. If we take the space gradient of (H-J) and call $u_1 = \varphi_{x_1}, u_2 = \varphi_{x_2}$, etc., we arrive at the system of conservation laws

$$(2.12) \quad (u_i)_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} H(u_1, \dots, u_N) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

with initial data:

$$u_i(x, 0) = \begin{cases} u_i^+ & \text{if } x_i > 0 \\ u_i^- & \text{if } x_i < 0, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Thus (1.6) gives us information about the solution to this special Riemann problem for a special system of conservation laws.

3. Proofs.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since φ_2 can be written as the Legendre transform of its Legendre transform

$$\varphi_2(x_B) = \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{-x_B \cdot v_B - \varphi_2^*(v_B)\},$$

we will first solve (H-J) with the initial data

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_0(v_B, x) = \varphi_1(x_A) - x_B \cdot v_B - \varphi_2^*(v_B),$$

and then take the infimum as v_B varies in \mathbb{R}^{N-j} . Since the initial data ψ_0 are convex in x for each choice of v_B , we can write Hopf’s formula for the solution $\psi(v_B, x, t)$ of (H-J) plus

$$\psi(v_B, x, 0) = \psi_0(v_B, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

To do this we compute the Legendre transform with respect to x of ψ_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_0^*(v_B, y) &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{x_A \cdot y_A + x_B \cdot (y_B + v_B) - \varphi_1(x_A) + \varphi_2^*(v_B)\} \\
 &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } y_B \neq -v_B, \\ \varphi_1^*(y_A) + \varphi_2^*(v_B) & \text{if } y_B = -v_B, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Downloaded 01/01/13 to 150.135.135.70. Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see http://www.siam.org/journals/ojsa.php

and then apply (1.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v_B, x, t) &= \sup_{y_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} \{x_A \cdot y_A - x_B \cdot v_B - \varphi_1^*(y_A) - \varphi_2^*(v_B) - tH(y_A, -v_B)\} \\ &= \sup_{v_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} G(-v, x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi(v_B, \cdot, \cdot) \in UC_x(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T])$ for all v_B and $\psi(v_B, x, 0) \geq \varphi(x, 0)$, a standard comparison theorem for unbounded viscosity solutions [10], [5] gives

$$\psi(v_B, x, t) \geq \varphi(x, t) \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T], \quad v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}.$$

Then

$$\inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} \sup_{v_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} G(v, x, t) = \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} \psi(v_B, x, t) \geq \varphi(x, t),$$

which is the second inequality in (1.3).

The first inequality is proved in a similar way. We apply Hopf’s formula (1.2) to compute the solution $\psi(v_A, x, t)$ of (H-J) with the concave initial condition

$$\psi(v_A, x, 0) = \varphi_2(x_B) + x_A \cdot v_A - \varphi_1^*(v_A) \leq \varphi(x, 0).$$

Since

$$\psi^*(v_A, y, 0) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } y_A \neq -v_A, \\ \varphi_1^*(v_A) + \varphi_2^*(y_B) & \text{if } y_A = -v_B, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\psi(v_A, x, t) = \inf_{v_B \in \mathbb{R}^{N-j}} G(v, x, t),$$

and, as before, we conclude by means of a comparison theorem. \square

Remark 3.1. The first and the third member of (1.3) coincide with φ at $t=0$, but in general it is not clear whether they are continuous. However, they are anyway respectively a subsolution and a supersolution of (H-J) in the generalized viscosity sense of Ishii [11]. This follows from Proposition 2.4 in [11], because they are, respectively, a supremum and an infimum of solutions of (H-J).

Proof of Corollary 2. We set

$$\varphi_1(x_A) = A + x_A \cdot u(x_A), \quad \varphi_2(x_B) = x_B \cdot u(x_B),$$

and compute the Legendre transforms

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^*(v_A) &= -A + \sup_{x_A \in \mathbb{R}^j} x_A \cdot (v_A - u(x_A)) \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } v_i > u_i^+ \text{ or } v_i < u_i^- \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq j, \\ -A & \text{if } u_i^- \leq v_i \leq u_i^+ \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, j \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } v_A \notin \Omega_A, \\ -A & \text{if } v_A \in \Omega_A; \end{cases} \\ \varphi_2^*(-v_B) &= \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } v_B \notin \Omega_B, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_B \in \Omega_B; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which substituted in (1.3) give immediately (1.6). \square

REFERENCES

[1] M. BARDI AND L. C. EVANS, *On Hopf’s formulas for solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 8 (1984), pp. 1373–1381.

- [2] M. G. CRANDALL, L. C. EVANS, AND P. L. LIONS, *Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 282 (1984), pp. 487–502.
- [3] M. G. CRANDALL AND P. L. LIONS, *Viscosity solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277 (1983), pp. 1–42.
- [4] ———, *Two approximations of solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Math. Comp., 45 (1984), pp. 1–19.
- [5] ———, *On existence and uniqueness of solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 10 (1986), pp. 353–370.
- [6] L. C. EVANS, *Some min-max methods for the Hamilton–Jacobi equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 33 (1984), pp. 31–50.
- [7] L. C. EVANS AND P. SOUGANIDIS, *Differential games and representation formulas for solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi–Isaacs equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 33 (1984), pp. 773–797.
- [8] S. K. GODUNOV, *A finite difference method for the numerical solution of discontinuous solutions of the equations of fluid dynamics*, Math. Sb., 47 (1959), pp. 271–291.
- [9] E. HOPF, *Generalized solutions of non-linear equations of first order*, J. Math. Mech., 14 (1965), pp. 951–973.
- [10] H. ISHII, *Remarks on existence of viscosity solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Engrg. Chuo Univ., 26 (1983), pp. 5–24.
- [11] ———, *Perron’s method for Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Duke Math. J., 55 (1987), pp. 369–384.
- [12] P. L. LIONS, *Generalized solutions of Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Pitman, London, 1982.
- [13] P. L. LIONS AND J.-C. ROCHET, *Hopf formula and multi-time Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 96 (1986), pp. 79–84.
- [14] S. OSHER, *The Riemann problem for nonconvex scalar conservation laws and Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 89 (1983), pp. 641–646.
- [15] S. OSHER AND J. A. SETHIAN, *Fronts propagating with curvature-dependent speed: algorithms based on Hamilton–Jacobi formulations*, J. Comput. Phys., 79 (1988), pp. 12–49.
- [16] S. OSHER AND C.-W. SHU, *High order essentially nonoscillatory schemes for Hamilton–Jacobi equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. (1989), submitted.